# Annual Environmental Report

2021



Castlebellingham

D0269-01

#### **CONTENTS**

#### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
  - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP
  - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP -
  - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
  - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP
  - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP

#### 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
  - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

#### 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
  - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
  - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
  - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
  - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT
- 5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS
- 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF
  - 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 7 APPENDIX
  - 7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0269-01, Castlebellingham, in Louth in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

#### 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no capital works, significant changes or operational improvements undertaken in 2021.

#### 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• Castlebellingham WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 1900, the treatment type is 2 - Secondary treatment,

#### **1.3 ELV OVERVIEW**

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF2100D0269SW001	Castlebellingham WWTP	Treated	Non-Compliant	ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l

# 1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

### Assessment / Report

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

## 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

#### 2.1 CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

#### 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l	6	666	198
COD-Cr mg/l	6	934	478.43
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	281	153.95
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	1117	204

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

## **Significance of Results:**

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

## 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF2100D0269SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	63	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l	25	50	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	9.10	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	25	62	N/A	12	1	N/A	17	Pass
pH pH units	6.00	9.00	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	7.36	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	2.00	2.40	N/A	6	1	1	0.968	Fail
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	2.00	2.40	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	0.158	Pass
Enterococci (Intestinal) cfu/100ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	3860	
Faecal coliforms cfu/100ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	198944	
E. Coli cfu/100ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	31154	

#### Notes:

- 1 This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied
- 2 For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 9

#### **Cause of Exceedance(s):**

No Ferric dosing at WwTP.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP is non compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.

# 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF2100D0269SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	306180, 295322	RS06G021230	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	306964, 294540	RS06G021240	No	No	No	No	Moderate

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.** 

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for the following: ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the downstream monitoring location. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in Ortho-P, Ammonia and BOD concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

As per the 3rd Cycle Draft Newry, Glyde, Fane and Dee Catchment Report (HA 06), the significant pressures on the At Risk Glyde\_070 waterbody are Agriculture and Urban Runoff. The Castlebellingham agglomeration, although listed in Cycle 2, is not listed as a significant pressure in the Cycle 3 Catchment Report.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

#### 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP

#### 2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Castlebellingham WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	
cBOD	24575	1129	95	
ss	19105	2599	86	
COD	59374	7771	87	

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

#### 2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Castlebellingham WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Castlebellingham WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	2160
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	720
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	1116.86
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	204.09
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	1900
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	1520
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	380
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

## 2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - CASTLEBELLINGHAM WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP?  (Y/N)	
There is	There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

## 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

#### 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints			
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2021.						

#### 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

#### 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Abatement Equipment offline	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	1	No	Yes
Breach of ELV	WWTP biological sludge issue	1	Yes	No

## **3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS**

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2021	2
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2021	2
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

## **4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS**

### 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

#### 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2021 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2021 (m³)	Monitoring Status
SW002	305749, 294691	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW003	306341, 295142	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW004	305972, 295178	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via monitored SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes

SI	WO Summary	
На	ave the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A

# 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

#### 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0269-SIP:01	Appropriate treatment to ensure all emission limit values are achieved.	С	31/12/2019	Yes	At Planning Stage	31/12/2025	

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

#### **4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY**

	Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments	
No additional improvements planned at this time.						

#### 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

## **5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS**

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Yes	2016	No
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2016	No

# **6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF**

## **6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS**

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e., have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Has a Technical amendment/licence review application been submitted to the Agency by IW?	No
List reason e.g., additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g., changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 22/04/2022

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

# **7 APPENDIX**

#### **Appendix**

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

# **Castlebellingham Ambient Monitoring Data 2021**

#### **Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Table**

			Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No)				
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	
Upstream Monitoring Point	306180, 295322	RS06G021230	No	No	No	No	
Downstream Monitoring Point	306964, 294540	RS06G021240	No	No	No	No	

		Mean (mg/l)				
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Current WFD Status	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)		
Upstream Monitoring Point	Moderate	1.213	0.0243	0.0425		
Downstream Monitoring Point	Moderate	1.235	0.0400	0.0500		
Difference		0.022	0.0157	0.0075		
EQS		1.500	0.035	0.065		
% of EQS		1.500%	44.949%	11.538%		

### **2021 Ambient Monitoring Summary**

		Ammonia N	Ortho- Phosphate P	Total Suspended Solids	COD Chemical Oxygen Demand	рН	Faecal Coliforms	Enterococci	E Coli	Biological Oxygen Demand
Sample Template	Sample Date	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	pH units	cfu/100mls	cfu/100mls	cfu/100m Is	mg/l
Upstream	3-Feb-2021	0.04	0.03	6	18	7.63	390	720	360	0.4
Upstream	7-Apr-2021	0.06	0.02	4	9	7.78	100	70	120	1.45
Upstream	1-July-2021	0.04	< 0.01	< 2	15	8.03	140	30	120	1.3
Upstream	7-Oct-2021	0.03	0.04	5	7	8.01	800	1280	800	1.7
	Mean	0.0425	0.024	4.10	12.25	7.86	358	525	350	1.213
	95%ile	0.0570	0.039	5.85	17.55	8.03	739	1196	734	1.663
		Ammonia N	Ortho- Phosphate P	Total Suspended Solids	COD Chemical Oxygen Demand	рН	Faecal Coliforms	Enterococci	E Coli	Biological Oxygen Demand
Sample Template	Sample Date	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	pH units	cfu/100mls	cfu/100mls	cfu/100m Is	mg/l
Downstream	3-Feb-2021	0.05	0.06	4	20	7.65	1200	760	690	0.6
Downstream	7-Apr-2021	0.07	0.02	4	9	8.04	170	70	180	1.14
Downstream	1-July-2021	0.05	0.03	2	17	7.98	380	130	220	1.6
Downstream	7-Oct-2021	0.03	0.05	7	8	8.06	1500	1840	1000	1.6
	Mean	0.0500	0.0400	4.25	13.50	7.93	813	700	523	1.235
	95%ile	0.0670	0.0585	6.55	19.55	8.06	1455	1678	954	1.600

Note: Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of LOD/sqrt(2) was used in calculating the mean and 95%ile concentrations.