Annual Environmental Report





Portlaoise

D0001-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 PORTLAOISE WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY PORTLAOISE WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY PORTLAOISE WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR PORTLAOISE WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO PORTLAOISE WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS
- 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS
 - 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
 - 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 7 APPENDIX
 - 7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0001-01, Portlaoise, in Laois in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no capital works, significant changes or operational improvements undertaken in 2021.

A Drainage Area Plan has completed for the Portlaoise agglomeration. The DAP encompassed both Storm Water Overflow and network assessments and comprehensively addressed the need to carry out separate Storm Water Overflow or Sewer Integrity Assessments. Consultants have been appointed by IW to progress with the tender stage for the implementation of the works which the DAP has identified as being the most beneficial to the system.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• PORTLAOISE WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 39000, the treatment type is 3NP - Tertiary N&P removal

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

| Discharge Point Reference | Treatment Plant | Discharge Type | Compliance Status | Parameters failing if relevant |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| TPEFF1600D0001SW001 | PORTLAOISE WWTP | Treated | Non-Compliant | Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l Chloride mg/l |

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

Assessment / Report

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 PORTLAOISE WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - PORTLAOISE WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

| Parameters | Number of Samples | Annual Max | Annual Mean |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l | 27 | 228 | 113 |
| ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l | 27 | 4.20 | 2.39 |
| COD-Cr mg/l | 27 | 1174 | 488.94 |
| Suspended Solids mg/l | 27 | 861 | 316.00 |
| Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l | 27 | 43 | 27 |
| Total Nitrogen mg/l | 13 | 51 | 35 |
| pH pH units | 27 | 8.10 | 7.66 |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l | 12 | 7.70 | 5.09 |
| Hydraulic Capacity | N/A | 28153 | 9948 |

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF1600D0001SW001

| Parameter | WWDL ELV (Schedule A) | ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1 | Interim % reduction from influent concentration | Number of sample results | Number of exceedances | Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included | Annual Mean | Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Chloride mg/l | 250 | 300 | N/A | 12 | 4 | 2 | 234 | Fail |
| COD-Cr mg/l | 125 | 250 | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 16 | Pass |
| Suspended Solids mg/l | 35 | 88 | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 1.41 | Pass |
| Fats, Oils & Greases mg/l | 15 | 18 | N/A | 11 | N/A | N/A | 11 | Pass |
| pH pH units | 6.00 | 9.00 | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 7.93 | Pass |
| Nitrate (as N) mg/l | 7.30 | 8.76 | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 5.14 | Pass |
| BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/I | 4.00 | 8.00 | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 0.746 | Pass |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l | 2.00 | 2.40 | N/A | 12 | N/A | N/A | 0.131 | Pass |

| Parameter | WWDL ELV (Schedule A) | ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1 | Interim % reduction from influent concentration | Number of sample results | Number of exceedances | Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included | Annual Mean | Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l | 0.260 | 0.310 | N/A | 27 | 2 | 2 | 0.124 | Fail |
| ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l | 0.130 | 0.260 | N/A | 27 | 1 | N/A | 0.065 | Pass |
| Conductivity @25°C μS/cm | N/A | N/A | N/A | 12 | N/A | N/A | 1178 | |
| Total Nitrogen mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | N/A | N/A | 5.94 | |
| E. Coli MPN/100ml | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 | N/A | N/A | 3557 | |
| Coliform Bacteria (Total) MPN/100ml | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | N/A | N/A | 10100 | |
| Enterococci (Intestinal) MPN/100ml | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2 | N/A | N/A | 1171 | |
| Nitrite (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 27 | N/A | N/A | 0.015 | |

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied 2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Shock load to WWTP (INC1021345) & WWTP required to meet ELV (INC1020849)

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is non compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF1600D0001SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

| Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA) | Irish Grid Reference | River Station Code | Bathing Water | Drinking Water | FWPM | Shellfish | WFD Ecological Status |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Upstream | 246849, 199036 | RS14T010170 | No | No | No | No | Poor |
| Downstream | 246373, 200616 | RS14T010200 | No | No | No | No | Poor |

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for the following: Chloride mg/l, Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the upstream and downstream monitoring locations- The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in Ortho-P concentration downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status. The current WFD status is Poor both u/d and d/s of the WWTP.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - PORTLAOISE WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - PORTLAOISE WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

| Parameter | Influent mass loading (kg/year) | Effluent mass emission (kg/year) | Efficiency (% reduction of influent load) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| ТN | 130240 | 22427 | 82.78 |
| SS | 1118811 | 4993 | 99.55 |
| cBOD | 398345 | 2635 | 99.34 |
| COD | 1726197 | 56248 | 96.74 |
| ТР | 19685 | 507 | 97.42 |

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - PORTLAOISE WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

| PORTLAOISE WWTP | |
|---|-------|
| Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed | 23400 |
| DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day) | 7800 |
| Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day) | 28153 |
| Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day) | 9948 |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed | 39000 |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1} | 31555 |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining | 7445 |
| Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No) | No |

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - PORTLAOISE WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

| Input type | Quantity | Unit | P.E. | % of load to WWTP | Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)? | Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP? | Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N) |
|---|----------|--------------------|------|----------------------|--|---|--|
| Landfill Leachate (delivered by tanker) | 5296.78 | Weight (Tonnes) | 64 | 0.15 | No | No | No |

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

| Number of Complaints | Nature of Complaint | Number Open Complaints | Number Closed Complaints |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Discharge to waters | 0 | 1 |

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

| Incident Type | Cause | No. of incident occurrences | Recurring (Y/N) | Closed (Y/N) |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Abatement Equipment offline | Dosing pump failure or maintenance at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Breach of ELV | WWTP upgrade required to meet ELV | 1 | Yes | Yes |
| Breach of ELV | Shock load to the WWTP | 1 | Yes | No |

| Incident Type | Cause | No. of incident occurrences | Recurring (Y/N) | Closed (Y/N) |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Uncontrolled release | Blocked Sewer | 1 | No | Yes |

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

| Question | Answer |
|--|--------|
| Number of Incidents in 2021 | 4 |
| Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2021 | 4 |
| Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above | N/A |

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

| WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable | lrish Grid Ref. (outfall) | Included in Schedule of the WWDL | Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low) | Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria | Total volume discharged in 2021 (m³) | Monitoring Status |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------|
| твс | 246382.1, 200622.4 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| твс | 247584.2, 197671.4 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| твс | 246803.1, 199229.9 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| твс | 248605.1, 200403 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| твс | 247381.2, 198404.6 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| SW002 | 245317.1, 200017.8 | Yes | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Not Monitored |

| WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable | lrish Grid Ref. (outfall) | Included in Schedule of the WWDL | Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low) | Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria | Total volume discharged in 2021 (m³) | Monitoring Status |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------|
| SW3 | 246597.1, 199599.2 | Yes | Medium | Not Meeting | 22639 | Monitored |

Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

| Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL) | Description | Licence Schedule | Licence Completion Date | Date Expired? (N/NA/Y) | Status of Works | Timeframe for Completing the Work | Comments |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------|
| D0001-SIP:01 | Discharge to cease: SW3 from old storm water tank at the treatment works | A | 29/07/2009 | Yes | Works Completed | | |
| D0001-SIP:02 | Discharge to cease: SW4 from the inlet works of the plant | A | 29/07/2009 | Yes | Works Completed | | |

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

| Improvement | Improvement Description / or any Operational | Improvement | Expected Completion | Comments |
|------------------------|--|-------------|---------------------|----------|
| Identifier | Improvements | Source | Date | |
| No additional improver | ments planned at this time. | | | |

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

A Drainage Area Plan has completed for the Portlaoise agglomeration. The DAP encompassed both Storm Water Overflow and network assessments and comprehensively addressed the need to carry out separate Storm Water Overflow or Sewer Integrity Assessments. Consultants have been appointed by IW to progress with the tender stage for the implementation of the works which the DAP has identified as been most beneficial to the system.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

| Licence Specific Report | Required by licence | Year included in AER | Included in this AER |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Priority Substances Assessment | Yes | 2014 | No |

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

| Parameter | Answer |
|---|--------|
| Does the AER include an Executive Summary? | Yes |
| Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e., have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)? | Yes |
| Has a Technical amendment/licence review application been submitted to the Agency by IW? | No |
| List reason e.g., additional SWO identified | N/A |
| Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc | No |
| List reason e.g., changes to monitoring requirements | N/A |
| Have these processes commenced? | N/A |
| Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER | N/A |

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 04/03/2022

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Portlaoise Ambient Monitoring Summary 2021

| | | | Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No) | | | | | Mean (mg/l) | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA) | Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing) | EPA Feature Coding Tool code | Bathing Water | Drinking Water | FWPM | Shellfish | Current WFD Status | cBOD | o-Phosphate (as P) | Ammonia (as N) | |
| Upstream Monitoring Point | 246849, 199036 | RS14T010170 | No | No | No | No | Poor | 1.693 | 0.048 | 0.106 | |
| Downstream Monitoring Point | 246373, 200598 | RS14T010200 | No | No | No | No | Poor | 1.660 | 0.051 | 0.096 | |
| Difference | | | | | | • | | -0.033 | 0.002 | -0.010 | |
| EQS | | | | | | | | 1.500 | 0.035 | 0.065 | |
| % of EQS | | | | | | | | -2.183% | 5.952% | -15.256% | |

Portlaoise Ambient Monitoring Summary 2021

| Upstream Results | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Date | | Temp °C | рН pH units | SS mG/L | COD mg/l | BOD mg/ I | Total Ammonia as N mg/l | Ortho- Phosphate as P mg/I | Total Nitrogen as N mg/l | DO % sat |
| 21/01/2021 | U/S | 6.8 | 7.86 | 11.2 | 32 | 1.2 | 0.072 | 0.024 | 3.9 | 92.7 |
| 23/02/2021 | U/S | 10.4 | 7.93 | 13.6 | 23 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.028 | 4.6 | 92.2 |
| 11/03/2021 | U/S | 8.1 | 7.96 | 22.8 | 20 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 0.047 | 4.1 | 99.3 |
| 27/04/2021 | U/S | 10.1 | 7.77 | 15.2 | < 20 | 1.4 | 0.068 | < 0.02 | 4.6 | 106.3 |
| 28/05/2021 | U/S | 12 | 7.98 | 11.8 | 24 | 1.3 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 3.1 | 98.8 |
| 22/06/2021 | U/S | 15.1 | 8.19 | < 2 | < 20 | <1 | 0.034 | 0.053 | < 2 | 84.8 |
| 28/07/2021 | U/S | 14.8 | 7.95 | 36.8 | < 20 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 0.031 | < 2 | 78.6 |
| 30/08/2021 | U/S | 15.6 | 8.15 | < 2 | < 20 | 1.2 | 0.04 | 0.026 | 4 | 86.4 |
| 28/09/2021 | U/S | 12.4 | 8.21 | 51.6 | < 20 | 2.5 | 0.045 | 0.054 | 4.5 | 86 |
| 28/10/2021 | U/S | 13.6 | 7.68 | 128 | 54 | 4.6 | 0.054 | 0.059 | | 81.7 |
| 02/12/2021 | U/S | 7.2 | 8.11 | < 2 | 23 | <1 | 0.061 | 0.03 | < 2 | 102 |
| 15/12/2021 | U/S | 9.8 | 7.73 | < 2 | 37 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.095 | < 2 | 84 |
| | Mean | 11.325 | 7.960 | 24.721 | 23.643 | 1.693 | 0.106 | 0.048 | 3.132 | 91.067 |
| | 95%ile | 15.325 | 8.199 | 85.980 | 44.650 | 3.500 | 0.297 | 0.106 | 4.600 | 103.935 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Downstream Results | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Date | | Temp ⁰C | рН pH units | SS mG/L | COD mg/l | BOD mg/ I | Total Ammonia as N mg/l | Ortho- Phosphate as P mg/l | Total Nitrogen as N mg/l | DO % sat |
| 21/01/2021 | D/S | 7.9 | 7.84 | 1 | 26 | 1.2 | 0.082 | 0.03 | 3.9 | 90.6 |
| 23/02/2021 | D/S | 10.7 | 7.94 | 16.8 | 21 | 2.2 | 0.093 | 0.042 | 4 | 92.1 |
| 11/03/2021 | D/S | 8.3 | 7.92 | 12.4 | < 20 | 1.9 | 0.085 | 0.03 | 4.2 | 96.8 |
| 27/04/2021 | D/S | 10.3 | 8.26 | < 2 | < 20 | <1 | 0.28 | < 0.02 | 4.9 | 114.1 |
| 28/05/2021 | D/S | 12 | 8.02 | 8.4 | 24 | 1.1 | 0.066 | 0.039 | 3.8 | 102 |
| 22/06/2021 | D/S | 15.8 | 8.09 | < 2 | < 20 | 1.7 | 0.048 | 0.053 | 2.6 | 88.1 |
| 28/07/2021 | D/S | 16.3 | 7.57 | 25.6 | < 20 | 3.6 | 0.024 | 0.18 | 4.1 | 77.9 |
| 30/08/2021 | D/S | 15.6 | 8.18 | < 2 | < 20 | 1.6 | 0.073 | 0.049 | 4.6 | 86.4 |
| 28/09/2021 | D/S | 14.4 | 7.98 | < 2 | < 20 | <1 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 4.4 | 92 |
| 28/10/2021 | D/S | 14 | 7.75 | 61 | 38 | 3.5 | 0.054 | 0.039 | | 85 |
| 02/12/2021 | D/S | 8.8 | 8.06 | < 2 | < 20 | <1 | 0.036 | 0.04 | 2.1 | 107.2 |
| 15/12/2021 | D/S | 9.5 | 8.01 | < 2 | 26 | 1 | 0.28 | 0.061 | <2 | 84.1 |
| | Mean | 11.967 | 7.968 | 11.140 | 19.500 | 1.660 | 0.096 | 0.051 | 3.638 | 93.025 |
| | 95%ile | 16.025 | 8.216 | 41.530 | 31.400 | 3.545 | 0.280 | 0.115 | 4.750 | 110.305 |

Note: Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of LOD/sqrt(2) was used in calculating the mean and 95% ile concentrations.