Annual Environmental Report

2020



Kilmeague

D0233-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 KILMEAGUE WWTP 2020 TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY KILMEAGUE WWTP 2020
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY KILMEAGUE WWTP 2020 -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR KILMEAGUE WWTP 2020
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO KILMEAGUE WWTP 2020

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF
 - 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 7 APPENDIX
 - 7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0233-01, Kilmeague, in Kildare in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There was no major capital or operational changes undertaken in 2020.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• Kilmeague WWTP - 2020 with a Plant Capacity PE of 1400, the treatment type is 2 - Secondary treatment

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF1400D0233SW001	Kilmeague WWTP - 2020	Treated	Compliant	N/A

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.	

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 KILMEAGUE WWTP - 2020 - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KILMEAGUE WWTP - 2020

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	12	347.00	171.51
COD-Cr mg/l	12	1224.00	550.49
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	1352.00	302.53
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	63.00	42.44
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	8.40	5.46
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	561	143

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF1400D0233SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Suspended Solids mg/l	20	20	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	9.13	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/I	10	10	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	5.76	Pass
pH pH units	6-9	6-9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.36	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1.21	
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	23.96	
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	18.16	
Chemical Oxygen Demand mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	72.42	
Total Phosphorus mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	2.42	
Conductivity 20 C µS/cm	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	1060	

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF1400D0233SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	277536E, 222227N	N/A	No	No	No	No	N/A
Downstream	277460E, 222298N	LIFFEY_090	No	No	No	No	Good

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.**

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD & Ammonia concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

The downstream monitoring location is susceptible to contamination from agricultural inputs.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - KILMEAGUE WWTP - 2020

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Kilmeague WWTP - 2020

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
cBOD	11352	347	97
COD	36435	4355	88
ss	20023	549	97
TN	2809	1441	49
ТР	362	146	60

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Kilmeague WWTP - 2020

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Kilmeague WWTP - 2020	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	483
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	161
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	561
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	143
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	1400
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	1325
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	75
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - KILMEAGUE WWTP - 2020

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)		
There is	There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.								

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints				
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2020.							

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Spillage	EO caused by ragging or blocking	1	Yes	No
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	Plant or equipment calibration at WWTP	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	Shock load to the WWTP	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	Yes	Yes
Uncontrolled release	SWO exceptional rainfall and overflow expected	1	No	Yes

3.2.2SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2020	15
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2020	15
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2020 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2020 (m³)	Monitoring Status
SW001	277496, 222276	Yes	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW002	277514, 222247	Yes	Low	Meeting	31	2034	Monitored

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0233-SIP:01	Manhole at entrance to WWTP to be discontinued or converted to SWO	А	30/06/2019	Yes	Works Completed		
D0233-SIP:02	Relocate the primary discharge point as agreed in Condition 4.18	С	31/12/2014	Yes	Not Started		A Licence review application has been submitted to the EPA to retain the existing discharge.
D0233-SIP:03	The Primary Discharge Point (SW1-P)	А	31/12/2014	Yes	Not Started		A Licence review application has been submitted to the EPA to retain the existing discharge.
D0233-SIP:04	Upgrade the WWTP to provide adequate hydraulic and biological treatment	С	31/12/2014	Yes	Works Completed		

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
	capacity as agreed in Condition 4.18						
D0233-SIP:05	Upgrading of Storm Water Overflows to comply with the criteria outlined in the DoECLG "Procedures and Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows, 1995"	С	31/12/2013	Yes	Not started		SWO Assessment Programme.

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improve	ments Programme for this Agglomeration.			

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	icence Specific Report Required by licence		Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER					
There is no Licence Specific Report Required in this AER Annual Review.									

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	Yes
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	Relocation of primary discharge
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	Yes
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 13/03/2021

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Kilmeague Ambient Monitoring Summary 2020

			Receivin	g Waters D	esignation (Yes/No)			Mean (mg/l)			
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	Current WFD Status	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)		
Upstream Monitoring Point	277536E, 222227N	N/A					N/A	2.750	0.423	2.647		
Downstream Monitoring Point	277460E, 222298N	LIFFEY_090	No	No	No	No	Good	4.083	0.300	6.053		
Difference								1.333	-0.123	3.407		
EQS								1.500	0.035	0.065		
% of EQS								88.889%	-352.381%	5241.026%		

Note: Ambient monitoring in 2020 was carried out both upstream and downstream in accordance with Technical Amendment B.4 of the discharge licence.

The downstream monitoring location is susceptible to contamination from (i) nature of ditch layout/depth of liquid available and (ii) agricultural inputs from animals. There is no suitable location within close proximity of the discharge to take a representative sample.

Kilmeague Ambient Monitoring Summary 2020

Monitoring Result Source	Sampling Method	Sample Date	pH pH units	BOD mg/I	COD mg/I	Suspended solids mg/l	Total Nitrogen mg/l	Total Phosphorus mg/l	Ammonia mg/l	Ortho- Phosphate mg/I	Visual	DO mg/l	Coloration
Upstream	Grab	08/01/2020	7.38	1	20		1	0.14	0.32	0.1	40	8.82	40
Upstream	Grab	20/02/2020	7.4	1	26		0.3	0.11	0.19	0.06	40	8.99	40
Upstream	Grab	19/03/2020	8.17	2			2.3	0.1	0.41	0.03	49	11.48	49
Upstream	24 hr composite	08/04/2020	7.34	1	19	1	0.64	0.05	0.08	3	38		38
Upstream	Grab	14/05/2020	7.4	7	57	23	7.9	1.08	7.8	0.58	62	7.61	62
Upstream	Grab	25/06/2020	7.19	6	72	24	14	0.68	12.7	0.39	66	7.79	66
Upstream	Grab	16/07/2020	6.87	6	73	17	15	1.65	9.8	0.75	78	7.86	78
Upstream	Grab	25/08/2020	7.07	1	45	3	1.1	0.12	0.08	0.03	36	7.61	36
Upstream	Grab	08/09/2020	6.97	3	46	4	1.1	0.06	0.08	0.01	49	9.7	49
Upstream	Grab	02/10/2020	7.08	3	36	1	2	0.19	0.21	0.09	41	9.61	41
Upstream	Grab	06/11/2020	7.47	1	21	1	1	0.04	0.05	0.01	67	11.53	67
Upstream	Grab	22/12/2020	7.71	1	10	1	2	0.07	0.04	0.03	9		9
		Mean		2.75					2.647	0.423			
		95%ile		6.45					11.105	1.763			
Downstream	Grab	08/01/2020	7.44	1	28	1	3	0.27	2.26	0.17	13	7.64	13
Downstream	Grab	20/02/2020	7.43	1	29	1.2	0.32	0.12	0.31	0.05	42	8.96	42
Downstream	Grab	19/03/2020	8.33	1			2.8	0.13	1.39	0.04	53	11.16	53
Downstream	24 hr composite	08/04/2020	7.66	4	26	4	5.1	0.27	4.4	0.16	44		44
Downstream	Grab	14/05/2020	7.42	9	98	26	30.4	1.19	26.6	0.59	64	8.02	64
Downstream	Grab	25/06/2020	7.24	7	85	24	18	1.2	15.4	0.78	78	8.06	78
Downstream	Grab	16/07/2020	6.94	6	63	20	15	1.62	10.6	0.81	82	7.68	82
Downstream	Grab	25/08/2020	6.99	4	106	7	2.9	0.33	1.2	0.18	64	8.06	64
Downstream	Grab	08/09/2020	6.99	4	57	2	9	0.38	6.6	0.16	68	8.02	68
Downstream	Grab	02/10/2020	7.12	4	53	2	3.1	0.54	0.86	0.3	74	9.61	74
Downstream	Grab	06/11/2020	7.32	6	47	10	3.1	0.39	1.1	0.21	124	9.14	124
Downstream	Grab	22/12/2020	7.83	2	21	1	4.6	0.44	1.92	0.15	18		18
		Mean		4.08					6.053	0.300			
		95%ile		7.90					20.440	0.794			

Note: Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of LOD/sqrt(2) was used in calculating the mean and 95%ile concentrations.