Annual Environmental Report 2020



Swords

D0024-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 SWORDS WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY SWORDS WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY SWORDS WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR SWORDS WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO SWORDS WWTP
- 2.2 TOBERBURR WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.2.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY TOBERBURR WWTP
 - 2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY TOBERBURR WWTP -
 - 2.2.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.2.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR TOBERBURR WWTP
 - 2.2.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO TOBERBURR WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 Priority Substances Assessment

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0024-01, Swords, in Dublin in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

An upgrade of the aeration system on the plants two older streams was to commence in 2020. However, this project is still on hold pending the recommissioning of the SBR stream.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- SWORDS WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 90000, the treatment type is 3NP Tertiary N&P removal
- TOBERBURR WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 500, the treatment type is 2 Secondary treatment

Currently there is a secondary discharge from the Toberburr Activated Sludge Treatment Plant. Toberburr is a Conventional Activated Sludge plant with an aeration tank, settlement tank and a sludge holding tank. It has a design PE of 500. The plant is currently operating effectively.

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

| Discharge Point Reference | Treatment Plant | Discharge Type | Compliance Status | Parameters failing if relevant |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| TPEFF0900D0024SW001 | SWORDS WWTP | Treated | Complaint | N/A |
| TPEFF0900D0024SW002 | TOBERBURR WWTP | Treated | Compliant | N/A |

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

| Assessment / Report | Included in AER |
|--|-----------------|
| There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER. | |

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 SWORDS WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - SWORDS WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

| Parameters | Number of Samples | Annual Max | Annual Mean |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------|
| Total Nitrogen mg/l | 25 | 65 | 50.92 |
| Suspended Solids mg/l | 25 | 705 | 357.12 |
| COD-Cr mg/l | 25 | 1,233 | 675.58 |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l | 25 | 10.4 | 7.16 |
| BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l | 24 | 561 | 300.43 |
| Hydraulic Capacity | N/A | 39,869 | 14,148 |

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0900D0024SW001

| Parameter | WWDL ELV (Schedule A) | ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1} | Interim % reduction from influent concentration | Number of sample results | Number of exceedances | Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included | Annual Mean | Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| COD-Cr mg/l | 125 | 250 | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 30.91 | Pass |
| Suspended Solids mg/l | 35 | 87.5 | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 10.04 | Pass |
| Temperature °C | 25 | N/A | N/A | 1 | N/A | N/A | 12 | Pass |
| BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l | 25 | 50 | N/A | 31 | N/A | N/A | 4.44 | Pass |
| Total Nitrogen mg/l | 15 | 18 | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 10.92 | Pass |
| pH pH units | 6-9 | 6-9 | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 7.86 | Pass |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l | 2 | 2.4 | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 1.02 | Pass |
| Conductivity 20 C μS/cm | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 1055.29 | |
| Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 0.56 | |
| ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 0.68 | |

| Parameter | WWDL ELV (Schedule A) | ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1} | Interim % reduction from influent concentration | Number of sample results | Number of exceedances | Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included | Annual Mean | Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Total Oxidised Nitrogen (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 9.25 | |
| Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 9.81 | |
| Nitrate (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 9.11 | |
| Nitrite (as N) mg/l | N/A | N/A | N/A | 32 | N/A | N/A | 0.15 | |

Notes:

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

^{1 –} This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0900D0024SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

| Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA) | Irish Grid Reference | Code | Bathing Water | Drinking Water | FWPM | Shellfish | WFD Status |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|---------------|
| Upstream | 318960, 248006 | TW09001008BM1008 | No | No | No | No | Poor |
| Downstream (BM140 - Barrack Br) | 321268, 246845 | TW09001008BM1003 | Yes | No | No | No | Poor |
| Downstream (BM130 - Seatown East) | 320527, 247216 | TW09001008BM1002 | Yes | No | No | No | Poor |

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.**

Significance of Results:

The Swords WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The secondary discharge from the Toberburr WWTP was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on the 2020 effluent compliance, it is not considered that the Swords WWTP and Toberburr WWTP is having an observable negative impact on the water quality downstream.

The discharges from the wastewater treatment plants do not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - SWORDS WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - SWORDS WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

| Parameter | Influent mass loading (kg/year) | Effluent mass emission (kg/year) | Efficiency (% reduction of influent load) | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| cBOD | 1616562 | 22854 | 99 | |
| COD | 3653346 | 160112 | 96 | |
| ss | 1931202 | 52035 | 97 | |
| TN | 263610 | 55648 | 79 | |
| ТР | 38697 | 5272 | 86 | |

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - SWORDS WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

| SWORDS WWTP | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|
| Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed | 60,750 | | | |
| DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day) | 20,250 | | | |
| Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day) | 39,869 | | | |
| Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day) | | | | |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed | | | | |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1 | 59,109 | | | |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining | 11,391 | | | |
| Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No) | No | | | |

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - SWORDS WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below:

| Input type | Quantity | Unit | P.E. | % of load to WWTP | Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)? | Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP? | Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N) | |
|---------------|--|------|------|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| There is | There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER. | | | | | | | |

2.2 TOBERBURR WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.2.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TOBERBURR WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

| | Parameters | neters Number of Samples | | Annual Mean | |
|---|------------|--------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| There is no Influent data for the TOBERBURR WWTP. | | | | | |

2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0900D0024SW002

| Parameter | WWDL ELV (Schedule A) | ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1 | Interim % reduction from influent concentration | Number of sample results | Number of exceedances | Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included | Annual Mean | Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| COD-Cr mg/l | 125.00 | 250.00 | N/A | 7 | 0 | 0 | 41.00 | Pass |
| Suspended Solids mg/l | 35.00 | 87.50 | N/A | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8.14 | Pass |
| BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l | 25.00 | 50.00 | N/A | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6.29 | Pass |
| pH pH units | 6-9 | 6-9 | N/A | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7.61 | Pass |

Notes

^{1 –} This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0900D0024SW002

There is no ambient monitoring data for the Toberburr WWTP.

Based on effluent compliance it is not considered that the Toberburr WWTP is having an observable negative impact on the water quality downstream.

The secondary discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.2.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - TOBERBURR WWTP

2.2.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - TOBERBURR WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

| Parameter | Influent mass loading (kg/year) | Effluent mass emission (kg/year) | Efficiency (% reduction of influent load) | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| There is no Influent data for the TOBERBURR WWTP and therefore the % efficiency of the treatment process cannot be calculated. | | | | | | | |

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.2.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - TOBERBURR WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

| TOBERBURR WWTP | |
|---|-----|
| Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed | N/A |
| DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day) | N/A |
| Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day) | 567 |
| Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day) | 37 |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed | N/A |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1 | N/A |
| Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining | N/A |
| Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No) | No |

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.2.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - TOBERBURR WWTP

Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

| Input type | Quantity | Unit | P.E. | % of load to WWTP | Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)? | Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP? | Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N) |
|---|----------|------|------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Toberburr WWTP included in the AER. | | | | | | | |

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

| Number of Complaints Nature of Complaint | | Number Open Complaints | Number Closed Complaints | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| There were no relevant environme | ental complaints in 2020. | | | |

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

| Incident Type | Cause | No. of incident occurrences | Recurring (Y/N) | Closed (Y/N) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Abatement Equipment offline | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Abatement Equipment offline | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Abatement Equipment offline | Other | 1 | No | Yes |

| Incident Type | Cause | No. of incident occurrences | Recurring (Y/N) | Closed (Y/N) |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Abatement Equipment offline | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Abatement Equipment offline | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Abatement Equipment offline | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Breach of ELV | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Spillage | Broken Sewer Pipe | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | Blocked Sewer | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | EO caused by ragging or blocking | 1 | No | Yes |
| Uncontrolled release | Plant or equipment calibration at WWTP | 1 | No | No |

Note: Breach of ELV (INCI019332) does not relate to a compliance sample.

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

| Question | Answer |
|--|--------|
| Number of Incidents in 2019 | 14 |
| Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2019 | 14 |
| Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above | N/A |

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

| WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow | Irish Grid Ref. | Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL | Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low) | Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria | No. of times activated in 2020 (No. of events) | Total volume discharged in 2020 (m³) | Monitoring Status |
|---|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------|
| SW001 | 318083, 246639 | Yes | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| SW011 | 319294, 247773 | Yes | High | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| SW17 | 318046, 246421 | Yes | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| SW19 | 317411, 247127 | Yes | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| SW25 | 319294, 247772 | No | Medium | Not yet Assessed | Unknown | Unknown | Not Monitored |
| твс | 319292, 247612 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| твс | 318917, 247985 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |

| WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow | Irish Grid Ref. | Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL | Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low) | Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria | No. of times activated in 2020 (No. of events) | Total volume discharged in 2020 (m³) | Monitoring Status |
|---|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------|
| твс | 319297, 245571 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| твс | 320128, 245433 | No | Medium | Meeting | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| твс | 317525, 245599 | No | Medium | Not yet Assessed | Unknown | Unknown | Monitored |
| твс | 319294, 247772 | No | Medium | Not yet Assessed | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| твс | 319294, 247772 | No | Medium | Not yet Assessed | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |

| SWO Summary | |
|---|---------|
| How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³)? | Unknown |
| Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements? | Yes |
| The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules? | Yes |
| Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7? | No |

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

| Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL) | Description | Licence Schedule | Licence Completion Date | Date Expired? (N/NA/Y) | Status of Works | Timeframe for Completing the Work | Comments |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| D0024-SIP:01 | Installation of enhanced nutrient reduction measure(s) at WWTP, as required, to meet the emission limit values | С | 31/12/2021 | No | Works Completed | | |
| D0024-SIP:02 | Replacement of Toberburr WWTP with a pumping station and construction of rising mains and gravity sewers to divert all effluent to Swords WWTP for treatment | С | 31/12/2015 | Yes | Not Started | | This improvement will be revised by Irish Water under Stage 4 DAP. |
| D0024-SIP:03 | SW002 (1) Toberburr Activated Sludge Treatment Plant to be discontinued | А | 31/12/2015 | Yes | Not Started | | This improvement will be revised by Irish Water under Stage 4 DAP. |

| Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL) | Description | Licence Schedule | Licence Completion Date | Date Expired? (N/NA/Y) | Status of Works | Timeframe for Completing the Work | Comments |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|
| D0024-SIP:04 | Upgrade of WWTP to cater for 90,000 p.e., with enhanced nutrient reduction, UV disinfection system, new storm water holding tank and ancillary works | С | 31/12/2015 | Yes | Works Completed | | |
| D0024-SIP:05 | Upgrading of Storm Water Overflows to comply with the criteria outlined in the DoECLG "Procedures and Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" (1995) | С | 31/12/2015 | Yes | At Planning Stage | 31/12/2021 | |

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

| | nprovement lentifier | Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements | Improvement Source | Expected Completion Date | Comments | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| T | There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration. | | | | | | | |

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

| Licence Specific Report | Required by licence | Year included in AER | Included in this AER | Reference to relevant section of AER |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Priority Substances Assessment | Yes | 2014 | No | |

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2014.

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

| Parameter | Answer |
|--|--------|
| Does the AER include an Executive Summary? | Yes |
| Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)? | Yes |
| Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence? | No |
| List reason e.g. additional SWO identified | N/A |
| Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc | No |
| List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements | N/A |
| Have these processes commenced? | N/A |
| Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER | N/A |

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 13/03/2021

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Swords Ambient Monitoring Data 2020

Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Table

| Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA) | Irish Grid Reference | Code | Bathing Water | Drinking Water | FWPM | Shellfish | WFD Status |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|---------------|
| Upstream | 318960, 248006 | TW09001008BM1008 | No | No | No | No | Poor |
| Downstream (BM140 - Barrack Br) | 321268, 246845 | TW09001008BM1003 | Yes | No | No | No | Poor |
| Downstream (BM130 - Seatown East) | 320527, 247216 | TW09001008BM1002 | Yes | No | No | No | Poor |

2020 Ambient Monitoring Summary

| Monitoring Result Source | Sample Date | Ammonia | B.O.D. | Chlorophyll a | DIN | Dissolved Oxygen | рН | Salinity | Temp. | TON Nitrogen |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|------------------|------|---------------------|-----|----------|-------|-----------------|
| | | μg/l as N | mg/l | mg/m3 | μg/l | % Sat. | рН | PSU | °C | μ/l as N |
| BM020 | 26/05/2020 | <10 | 3 | 8.7 | 1988 | 81 | 7.8 | 5 | 15.5 | 1988 |
| BM020 | 07/09/2020 | 38 | <1 | 1.1 | 1583 | 108 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 18.8 | 1545 |
| BM130 | 26/05/2020 | 265 | 2 | 2.3 | 1231 | 83 | 7.9 | 26.6 | 14.6 | 966 |
| BM130 | 07/09/2020 | 317 | 3 | 7.3 | 1490 | 95 | 8.2 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 1173 |
| BM140 | 26/05/2020 | 873 | >6 | 2.9 | 1375 | 96 | 8 | 26.4 | 14.7 | 502 |
| BM140 | 07/09/2020 | 219 | 2 | 7.8 | 646 | 97 | 8.2 | 24.5 | 19.8 | 427 |

| Monitoring Result Source | Sample Date | Pheophytin a | Phosphorus (React) | Total Phosphorus |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | mg/m3 | μg/I SRP as P | μg/I as P |
| BM020 | 26/05/2020 | 5 | 165 | 184 |
| BM020 | 07/09/2020 | 2.1 | 116 | 130 |
| BM130 | 26/05/2020 | 1.7 | 252 | 249 |
| BM130 | 07/09/2020 | 7.5 | 232 | 243 |
| BM140 | 26/05/2020 | 1.9 | 163 | 178 |
| BM140 | 07/09/2020 | 3.9 | 102 | 130 |

Donabate, Balcarrick Beach Bathing Waters (EPA Beaches.ie)

Donabate, Balcarrick Beach was classified as achieving Good Water Quality in 2019 based on the assessment of bacteriological results for the period 2016 to 2019. Donabate, Balcarrick Beach had a Sufficient Water Quality rating in 2018 and 2017, and achieved a Good Water Quality rating in 2016. There is no 2020 classification.

The Escherichia coli and Intestinal enterococci results for the 2020 sample period are tabled below.

| Date | Escherichia coli | Intestinal enterococci | Sample Quality Status |
|------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 01/09/2020 | 63 | 8 | Excellent |
| 25/08/2020 | 63 | 25 | Excellent |
| 11/08/2020 | <10 | 3 | Excellent |
| 27/07/2020 | 31 | 22 | Excellent |
| 13/07/2020 | <10 | 11 | Excellent |
| 29/06/2020 | 20 | 149 | Good |
| 15/06/2020 | 20 | 1 | Excellent |
| 02/06/2020 | <10 | <1 | Excellent |
| 25/05/2020 | <10 | 1 | Excellent |

(Source: Beaches.ie)