# Annual Environmental Report

2020



Kinnegad

D0104-01

#### **CONTENTS**

#### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES.
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 KINNEGAD WWTP 2020 Treated Discharge
  - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY KINNEGAD WWTP 2020
  - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY KINNEGAD WWTP 2020 -
  - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
  - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR KINNEGAD WWTP 2020
  - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO KINNEGAD WWTP 2020

#### 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
  - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

#### 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
  - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
  - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
  - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
  - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 Priority Substances Assessment

#### 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

#### 7 APPENDIX

7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0104-01, Kinnegad, in Westmeath in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

#### 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken in 2020.

#### 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020 with a Plant Capacity PE of 4800, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal

#### **1.3 ELV OVERVIEW**

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference Treatment Plant		Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant	
TPEFF3200D0104SW001	KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020	Treated	Compliant	N/A	

# 1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.	

## 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

### 2.1 KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020 - TREATED DISCHARGE

#### 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean	
BOD - 5 days (Total) mg/l	12	546.00	310.36	
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	12	522.00	247.58	
COD-Cr mg/l	12	1485.00	699.52	
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	500.00	254.87	
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	93.20	49.41	
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	12.00	6.14	
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	4791.95	1296.84	

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

### **Significance of Results:**

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

#### 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF3200D0104SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included <sup>Note 1</sup>	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Chemical Oxygen Demand mg/l	125	250	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	28.06	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	9.31	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/I	20	40	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	2.82	Pass
pH pH units	6-9	6-9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.26	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	1	2	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	0.08	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.6	0.72	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	0.17	Pass

Notes

<sup>1 –</sup> This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

#### **Cause of Exceedance(s):**

Not applicable

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

# 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF3200D0104SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	260311, 245276	RS07K010100	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	260585, 245669	RS07K010150	No	No	No	No	Moderate

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.** 

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD and Ortho-P concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

#### 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020

#### 2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
cBOD	54927	745	99
COD	155190	7399	95
ss	56543	2455	96
TN	10962	4831	56
ТР	1363	90	93

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

#### 2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	3240
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	1080
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	4791.95
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	1296.84
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	4800
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	3375
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	1425
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

### 2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - KINNEGAD WWTP - 2020

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	1895.14	Volume (m³)	23.11	0.4	Yes	Yes	Yes

### 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

#### 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints Nature of		Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints				
	There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2020.							

#### 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

#### 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)	
There were no reportable incidents in 2020.					

## **3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS**

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2020	0
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2020	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

# **4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS**

## 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

#### 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2020 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2020 (m³)	Monitoring Status
SW004	260126, 245127	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
SW2	260412, 245359	Yes	Low	Meeting	0	0	Monitored
SW3	260126, 245127	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	TBC, TBC	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
твс	TBC, TBC	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
твс	TBC, TBC	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

# 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

#### 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0104-SIP:01	Installation of new storm water storage tank	С	31/12/2012	Yes	Works Completed		
D0104-SIP:02	Waste water treatment plant and ancillary works	С	31/12/2013	Yes	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

#### **4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY**

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improven	nents Programme for this Agglomeration.			

#### 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

## **5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS**

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AE	
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2015	No	N/A	

## **5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT**

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2015.

# **6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF**

## **6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS**

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 23/06/2021

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

# **7 APPENDIX**

#### **Appendix**

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

# **Kinnegad 2020 Ambient Monitoring Summary**

			Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No				
<b>Ambient Monitoring Point</b>	Irish National Grid	EPA Feature	Bathing	Drinking	FWPM	Shellfish	
from WWDL	Reference	Coding Tool code	Water	Water			
(or as agreed with EPA)	(Easting, Northing)						
Upstream Monitoring Point	260311, 245276	RS07K010100	No	No	No	No	
Downstream Monitoring Point	260585, 245669	RS07K010150	No	No	No	No	

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Current WFD Status	cBOD (Mean mgl/l)	o-Phosphate (as P) (Mean mg/I)	Ammonia (as N) (mean mg/l)
Upstream Monitoring Point	Moderate	1.457	0.019	0.107
Downstream Monitoring Point	Moderate	2.337	0.038	0.093
Difference		0.880	0.019	-0.014
EQS		1.500	0.035	0.065
% of EQS		58.691%	53.886%	-22.102%

## **Kinnegad 2020 Ambient Monitoring Data**

Details/Coordinates	Sample Date	Temperature °C	pH pH units	BOD mg/ I	Total Nitrogen mg/l	Ammonia mg/l as N	Ortho-Phosphate mg/l as P	DO mg/l	DO % sat
-↓	▼	▼	▼	▼	▼	▼	▼	~	~
Upstream	10/03/2020	10.6	7.5	1.1	3.8	0.095	0.022	9.38	85.8
Upstream	26/05/2020	17.3	7.9	< 2	< 2.5	0.038	< 0.025	8.54	87.1
Upstream	09/06/2020	14.9	8	< 2	3.7	0.033	0.025	9.04	89.9
Upstream	07/07/2020	14.3	7.9	< 2	20	0.039	< 0.025	8.3	81.2
Upstream	18/08/2020	17.7	7.7	< 2	< 2.5	0.537	0.047	7.1	75.6
Upstream	01/09/2020	14.1	7.9	< 2	< 2.5	0.058	< 0.025	7.61	74.1
Upstream	08/09/2020	16.8	7.9	3	< 2.5	0.207	< 0.025	6.89	70.8
Upstream	06/10/2020	13.5	8	1.2	< 2.5	0.059	0.009	8.62	84.8
Upstream	03/11/2020	11.8	7.9	1.2	3.8	0.021	0.015	8.92	81.6
Upstream	01/12/2020	10.7	7.9	< 1	3.1	0.012	0.012	9.98	89.8
	Mean			1.457		0.107	0.019	8.8	83
	95%ile			2.505		0.356	0.035	10.56	89.8
Downstream	28/01/2020	6.4	7.2	1.3	6.7	0.147	0.068	9.99	83.6
Downstream	04/02/2020	7.7	7.8	3.9	9	0.016	0.088	9.95	83
Downstream	10/03/2020	11.6	7.5	1.6	3.9	0.105	0.043	9.06	84.3
Downstream	26/05/2020	17.5	7.8	3	7	< 0.01	< 0.025	8.08	85.3
Downstream	09/06/2020	15.2	7.9	< 2	6.4	0.052	0.025	9.01	90.3
Downstream	07/07/2020	14.1	7.6	3	17	0.045	0.047	8.95	91.4
Downstream	18/08/2020	17.5	7.6	2	< 2.5	0.603	0.049	7.37	83.5
Downstream	01/09/2020	15.3	7.8	< 2	< 2.5	0.011	< 0.025	9.62	96.1
Downstream	08/09/2020	16.3	7.9	< 2	< 2.5	0.035	< 0.025	7.53	76.9
Downstream	06/10/2020	13.4	7.8	5.7	3.7	0.057	0.04	8.34	81.9
Downstream	03/11/2020	11.8	7.9	1.6	4.4	< 0.005	0.009	8.65	74.5
Downstream	01/12/2020	9.9	7.9	1.7	4.7	0.029	0.029	9.89	87.4
	Mean			2.337		0.093	0.038	8.87	84.9
	95%ile			4.71		0.352	0.077	9.97	93.5

Note: Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of LOD/sqrt(2) was used in calculating the mean and 95%ile concentrations.