# Annual Environmental Report

2020



Carrigtwohill

D0044-01

#### **CONTENTS**

#### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 CARRIGTOHILL WWTP 2020 TREATED DISCHARGE
  - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY CARRIGTOHILL WWTP 2020
  - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY CARRIGTOHILL WWTP 2020 -
  - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
  - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR CARRIGTOHILL WWTP 2020
  - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO CARRIGTOHILL WWTP 2020

#### 3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
  - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

#### 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
  - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
- 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
- 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
- 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 Priority Substances Assessment
- 5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

#### 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

#### 7 APPENDIX

7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

Rev 1 Note - Section 4.1.1 Question 1 answer amended to "unknown". Approved 09/07/2021

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2020 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0044-01, Carrigtwohill, in Cork in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

#### 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There are no improvement works that I am aware of at present.

#### 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020 with a Plant Capacity PE of 30000, the treatment type is 3NP - Tertiary N&P removal

#### **1.3 ELV OVERVIEW**

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Reference Treatment Plant		Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant	
TPEFF0500D0044SW001	Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020	Treated	Non-Compliant	Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	

# 1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.	

## 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

#### 2.1 CARRIGTOHILL WWTP - 2020 - TREATED DISCHARGE

#### 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - CARRIGTOHILL WWTP - 2020

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Total Nitrogen mg/l	6	84.5	16.42
COD-Cr mg/l	6	1194	256
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	535	128
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	6	7.14	2.12
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/l	6	227	112
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	10596	4960

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater tretament plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

# 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0500D0044SW002

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/I	25	50	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass
Total Oxidised Nitrogen (as N) mg/l	20	24	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	5	6	N/A	12	1	1	N/A	Fail
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	1	N/A	N/A	Pass
ortho- Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.5	0.6	N/A	12	3	3	N/A	Fail

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Faecal coliforms no./100mls	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	
E. Coli no./100mls	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Enterococci (Intestinal) no./100mls	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Notes:

#### **Cause of Exceedance(s):**

Exceeding ELV's in Ammonia(N) on one occasion may be due to a high COD loading into the WWTP and high Orthophosphates (P) on two occasion's may be caused by the importing of Industrial waste.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP is not compliant with the ELV's set in the Waste Water Discharge license due to exceedance in Ammonia(N) on one occasion and Orthophosphates (P) on two occasion's. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in the AER.

<sup>1 -</sup> This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

# 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0500D0044SW002

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Downstream	179127, 70773	TW05003153LE6001	No	No	No	Yes	Moderate

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary** 

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results does not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD and Ammonia, concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are: Catchment Pressures.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

#### 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - CARRIGTOHILL WWTP - 2020

#### 2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	
ss	271817	N/A	N/A	
TN	34869	N/A	N/A	
cBOD	237840	N/A	N/A	
ТР	4502	N/A	N/A	
COD	543634	N/A	N/A	

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

### 2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	20250
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	6750
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	10596

Carrigtohill WWTP - 2020	
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	4960
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	30000
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	11459
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	18541
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

#### 2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - CARRIGTOHILL WWTP - 2020

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Waterworks Sludge	5200	Volume (m3)		0.29	No	Yes	Yes
Landfill Leachate (delivered by sewer network)	8309.6	Weight (Tonnes)		0.46	No	Yes	Yes
Industrial / Commercial Sludge	7569.2	Weight (Tonnes)		0.42	Yes	No	No

## **3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS**

#### 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints				
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2020.							

### 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

#### 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)	
Breach of ELV	Other	1	No	No	
Breach of ELV	Other	1	Yes	No	

# **3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS**

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2020	2
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2020	2
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

# 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

## 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

#### 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2020 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2020 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW003	181276, 72256	Yes	Medium	Not Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW01	179911, 72605	Yes	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	0	Monitored
твс	180600, 73562	No	Medium	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	TBC, TBC	No	Medium	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
твс	TBC, TBC	No	Medium	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	No
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

# 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

#### 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0044-SIP:01	Installation of new WWTP to meet ELVs as specified in Schedule A	С	31/12/2016	Yes	Works Completed		
D0044-SIP:02	Installation of storm water holding tank (SW003)	С	31/12/2016	Yes	Works Completed		

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0044-SIP:03	Installation of storm water holding tank (SW004)	С	31/12/2016	Yes	Works Completed		
D0044-SIP:04	Nutrient removal to meet ELVs as specified in Schedule A	С	31/12/2016	Yes	Works Completed		
D0044-SIP:05	SW002 Primary Discharge Point to be discontinued	С	31/12/2016	Yes	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

#### **4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY**

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments		
There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.						

#### 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

## **5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS**

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

#### 5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2014	No	
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Yes		No	

# **5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT**

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2014

#### **5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

# **6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF**

# **6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS**

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	N/A
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	Yes

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 09/07/2021

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

# **7 APPENDIX**

### **Appendix**

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Carrigtwohill	Transitional						Median	Mean	95%ile
		EQS							
	Mean	95%ile	12/03/2020 13:00	07/05/2020 10:20	26/08/2020 11:25	14/10/2020 10:45			
D.O % O <sub>2</sub>	80%<9	80%<95%ile<120%		98.6	98.8	74.9			99.82
Temperature C°	≤ 1.5	≤ 1.5 C° increase		12.1	18.8	11.3			
pH	6 <	6 < pH < 9		8.1	7.9	7.6			
BOD mg/L	n/a	≤ 4	1.2	1.6	5	2.5			4.625
Orthophosphate (P) mg/I	≤0.04 @35	PSU (Median)	0.04		0.03	0.08	0.04		
Ammonia (N) mg/l	≤ 0.065	≤ 0.140	0.1	0.126	0.123	0.052		0.10025	0.12555
TON (N) mg/l		n/a	1.04	0.43	0.88	1.9			
E.Coli MPN/100mls	n/a		1421	650	5794	41			
Faecal Coliforms MPN/100mls		n/a		1119	4106	52			
Intestinal enterococci CFU/100mls		n/a	41	75	288	20			

,	Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or	Irish Grid	EPA Feature Coding					Current
á	as agreeded with EPA)	Reference	tool Code	Bathing Water	<b>Drinking Water</b>	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
							2km E of monitoring	
ı	Downstream Monitoring Point	E179127 N70773	TW05003153LE6001	No	No	No	location	Moderate

Significace of Results	
Did the ambient monitoring results meet the EQS Required?	No
Is there an obervable negative impact on water quality?	Unknown - "observable" TBC
List the parameters causing the impact?	Ammonia and BOD
A deterioration has been identified, but it is not known if it is caused by the TP	TRUE
Do the discharges from the WWTP have an observable negative impact on the WFD?	Unknown - "observable" TBC
Any other known impacts	Catchment Pressures, diffuse urban

