Annual Environmental Report 2019



Carrigart

D0523-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 UMLAGH WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY UMLAGH WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY UMLAGH WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR UMLAGH WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO UMLAGH WWTP
- 2.2 CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.2.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP
 - 2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP -
 - 2.2.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.2.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP
 - 2.2.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT
- 5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

- 7.1 Ambient monitoring summary
- 7.2 Other

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0523-01, Carrigart, in Donegal in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- Umlagh WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 225, the treatment type is 1 Primary treatment
- CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 450, the treatment type is 1 Primary treatment

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF0600D0523SW002	Umlagh WWTP	Treated	There is no Influent data included in the AER.	NA
TPEFF0600D0523SW001	CARRIGART VILLAGE T		Non-Compliant	BOD, Suspended Solids

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.

Included in AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 UMLAGH WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - UMLAGH WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean			
There is no Influent data inclue	There is no Influent data included in the AER.					

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0600D0523SW002

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	20% Reduction	6	N/A	N/A	125	There is no Influent data included in the AER.
Suspended Solids mg/l	50% Reduction	6	N/A	N/A	646.67	There is no Influent data included in the AER.

Significance of Results:

There is no Influent data included in the AER.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0600D0523SW002

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status	
The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary								

Significance of Results:

There is no Influent data included in the AER.

The ambient monitoring results does not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD, Ammonia and Ortho-Phosphorus, concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - UMLAGH WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Umlagh WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
cBOD	Unknown	771	Unknown
SS	Unknown	3989	Unknown
ТР	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
ТN	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
COD	Unknown	1169	Unknown

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Umlagh WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Umlagh WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	150
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	50
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	51

Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	21.1
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	225
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	75
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	150
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - UMLAGH WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is	There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.						

2.2 CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.2.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	6	213	146
Total Nitrogen mg/l	2	50.6	25.46
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	115	80.17
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	1	6.16	6.16
COD-Cr mg/l	6	547	340
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	208	87

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF0600D0523SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	20% Reduction	6	N/A	N/A	130.67	Fail
Suspended Solids mg/l	50% Reduction	6	N/A	N/A	42.33	Fail

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Refer to incidient section of the report

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is non- compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF0600D0523SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

 Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary							

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was non compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.2.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

2.2.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
COD	8563	6817	20
SS	2019	1066	47
ТР	155	N/A	N/A
TN	641	N/A	N/A
cBOD	3677	3291	11

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.2.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	297
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	99
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	208

Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)			
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed			
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}			
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining			
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No		

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.2.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - CARRIGART VILLAGE WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is	s no Sludge	and O	ther In	put data for th	e Treatment Plant inclu	ded in the AER.	

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints		Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
	There were no relevant environme	ental complaints in 2019.		

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Breach of ELV	Inadequate Infrastructure	1	Yes	No

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2019	1
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2019	1
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2019 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2019 (m3)	Monitoring Status
твс	твс	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW003	212925, 436711	Yes	Low	Not Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Monitored
твс	твс	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	No
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0523-SIP:01	Appropriate works to ensure compliance with Condition 1.7 of this licence	С	13/12/2019	yes	At Planning Stage	31/12/2022	

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement	Improvement Description / or any Operational	Improvement	Expected Completion	Comments	
Identifier	Improvements	Source	Date		
There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.					

N/A

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2015	No	
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Yes		No	

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2015

5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Shellfish Impact Assessment Report has been included in the AER

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	No

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 17/06/2020

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Ambient Monitoring

Ambient			Receiving V	WFD Status				
Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish		
Downstream Monitoring Point SW001/SW002	212925 436711	IE-NW-200-0000 Mulroy Bay Broadwater	No	No	No	No	Good	
Upstream Monitoring Point SW002		Unnamed tributary of Magheramagron IE_NW_38C130960	No	No	No	No	Unassigned	
Downstream Monitoring Point SW001/SW002		Unnamed tributary of Magheramagron IE_NW_38C130960	No	No	No	No	Unassigned	

Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny	Letterkenny		District		Municiple
(Magheramagorgan Hiver	Magheramagorgan River	Magheramagorgan River	Magheramagorgan River		ľ		5								
magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga	magorga				Entity Name
n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River	n River				n
December Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream 192504946 05-Dec-19	December	November	November	November	November	October	October	August	August	February	February				Month
Carriga						Carrigai	Carrigar	Carrigar	Carrigar	Carrigar	Carrigar				
t No. 2(U	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Downstream	Carrigart No. 2(Umhlagh) - Upstream				-
mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)	mhlagh)				Location
- Downst	Upstrea	- Downst	 Upstrea 	- Downst	- Upstrea	- Downst	 Upstrea 	- Downst	- Upstrea	- Downst	- Upstrea				
ream 19										1					5
2504946	192505199	192504946	12504944	192504817	192504815	2504395	192504393	2503232	2503230	192500768	192500767				Lab Ref
05-Dec	05-Dec-19	25-Nov-19	192504944 25-Nov-19	15-Nov-19	15-Nov-19	192504395 15-Oct-19	15-Oct-19	192503232 08-Aug-19	192503230 08-Aug-19	26-Feb-19	26-Feb-19				Date
-19 7.4	-19 7.4	-19 7.4	-19 7.4	-19 7.4	-19 7.3	19 7.4	19 7.2	-19 7.4	-19 7.7	-19 7.5	-19 7.4		units	pH	рH
7.4	7.7	10.2	10	60	8.6	10.2	10.1	16	16.6	10.2	10.2		5 0		Temper ature
396	339	2 348	320	320	325	294	265	137	318	369	323		us/cm		Conduct er ivity@ e 20°C
5 76.4	9 88.7	8 97.2	0 95	0 90.4	5 91.1	4 104.7	5 104.1	7 100.2	8 97.8	9 98.4	3 99.3		m % Sat	-	
6	1	2	-	ф З	1	7 2	1 2	2 1	ω ω	-	1			_	BOD
TN	Π	NT	NT	TN	TN	T	NT	TN	NT	NT	NT	1. 60	(me/l) (me/l) (me/l)		COD
13	ŝ	6	11	7	ŝ	6	10	6	9	^ 6	25	1. 101	(me/1)		Suspe nded Solids
1,11	0.042	0.863	0.06	0.233	0.045	0,18	0.209	0.029	0.537	0.361	0.093	1.10.11	(mg/l)		Ammo nia (as N)
N	TN	NT	TN	TN	TN	NT	TN	TN	T	TN	TN	1.10.11	(me/l)		Nitrate (as N)
N	NT	T	NT	TN	TN	T	NT	TN	TN	TN	NT	1. 101	(me/l)	31	Nitrite (as N)
0.12	0.06	0,13	<0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0,07	<0.05	0.16	0,079	0.081		(me/l)		Ortho phosp hate N
N	T	TN	N	NT	NT	T	T	N	NT	NT	NT	1.00.1	(mg/l)		Total Nitrogen
N	T	N,	Ρ	N	T	TN	T	T	N	NT	TN	1.60.01			TON
Z	TN	TN	NT	NT	TN	TN	ΝT	TN	NT	TN	NT	1. 100 - 1	(me/l)		Inorganic Nitrogen DIN
N	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	TN	TN	τN	NT	1.00.1	(me/l)		Total Phosph orus
>24196	1723	365400	800	19863	573	2720	6488	598	>24196	4200	200		00mls	MPN/1	E coli
8664		15850	630	14136	422	420	390	241	>24196	26600	< 100		w	MPN/1 cfu/100mi cfu/100	Faecal Coliforms (E. coli)
N	NT	2900	<100	610	20	1017	1169	NT	TN	460	80		mls	cfu/100	Enteroc occi
Z	TN	NT	NT	NT	N	T	T	NT	T	Ŋ	TN		PSU	*	Salinity
2	N	NT	NT	N	2	P	T	T	Ŗ	Z,	NT		ptive	Descri	SSRS 0
Z	Ę	Ę	H	z	Ę	3	Z	Ę	Ę	z	TN	5			Chlor ophyll

Mulroy Bay	Mulroy Bay	Mulroy Bay	Mulroy Bay			Entity
Coastal Water Body	Coastal Water Body	Coastal Water Body	Coastal Water Body			Category
November	September	June	April			MONTH
Carrigart	Carrigart	Carrigart	Carrigart			Location
192505415	192505397	192503657	192502312			Lab Ref
19-Nov-19	03-Sep-19	06-Jun-19	10-Apr-19			Date
NT	NT	NT	NT	(mg/1)		Ammonia (as N)
2.35	<2	2.58	<1	(mg/l)		BOD
NT	NT	NT	TN			Chiorop hyll
NT	NT	NT	NT	mg/m3 (mg/l)		COD
<0.2	<0.3	<0.2	<0.02	(mg/l)		Dissolved Inorganic Dissolved Nitrogen (as Oxygen % N) Saturation
100.6	91.5	98.3	87.6	(mg/l)		Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation
0	9	>2420	0	slut	mpn/100	E coli
28	>200	32	0	cfu/100		Intestinal Enterococci
180	196	>2420	18	cfu/100mls (mg/l) Units		Faecal Orthop Coliforms hosphat (E. coli) e
NT	TN	TN	TN	(mg/l)		Orthop hosphat e
7.7	7.76	6.81	8.04	Units	PH	PH
11	105.9	6	64.7	(mg/l)		Suspe nded Solids
5.8	15.9	11.8	8.9	°°		Total Temper Nitrogen ature N
TN	NT	NT	NT	(mg/l)		
TN	28.31	15.6	32.1	g/kg		To Oy Salinity Ni
TN	TN	NT	TN	(mg/l)		Total Oxidised Nitrogen N