Annual Environmental Report 2019



Campile

D0409-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

- 1.1 Annual Statement of Measures
- 1.2 Treatment Summary
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 CAMPILE WWTP Treated Discharge
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY CAMPILE WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY CAMPILE WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR CAMPILE WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO CAMPILE WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
- 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
- 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
- 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT
- 5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

7 APPENDIX

7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0409-01, Campile, in Wexford in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no capital works or significant changes in 2019

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• CAMPILE WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 150, the treatment type is 1 - Primary treatment

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference Treatment Plant		Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF3300D0409SW001	CAMPILE WWTP	Treated	Non-Compliant	BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l COD-Cr mg/l Suspended Solids mg/l

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.	

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 CAMPILE WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - CAMPILE WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	165	68.38
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	6	308	90.6
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	6	7.02	3.17
COD-Cr mg/l	6	539	204.33
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	297.68	148.84

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater tretament plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF3300D0409SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	7	4	1	42.44	Fail
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	25	50	N/A	7	3	2	29.71	Fail
COD-Cr mg/l	20	40	N/A	7	7	4	116.71	Fail
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	7.49	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l Visual Inspection Descriptive	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	1.01	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	18.06	
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	2.67	

Notes: 1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Inadequate Infrastructure

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is not compliant with the ELVs set in the WWDL. Slight Localised Impact only

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF3300D0409SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	272291, 115645	RS13C220990	No	No	No	No	Unassigned

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results does not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in cBOD, Ammonia , concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are: Transition from river waters to transitional waters , localized agricultural impacts

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - CAMPILE WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - CAMPILE WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
ТР	172	145	16
ss	3715	2306	38
TN	N/A	N/A	N/A
cBOD	4922	1883	62
COD	11101	6341	43

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - CAMPILE WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

CAMPILE WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	102
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	34

CAMPILE WWTP	
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	297.68
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	148.84
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	150
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)Note1	446
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	0
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	Yes

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - CAMPILE WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints			
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2019.						

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Breach of ELV	WWTP upgrade required to meet ELV	1	Yes	No

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2019	1
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2019	1
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	against No. of times activated in 2019		Monitoring Status
твс	272104, 115526	No	Low	Not Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
SW2	272297, 115645	Yes	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	272179, 115569	No	Low	Not Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

SWO Summary				
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	Unknown			
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?				
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes			

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0409-SIP:01	Provision of Primary Treatment	С	31/12/2013	Yes	Not Started		The improvement programme will be reviewed by Irish Water to assess the works required to comply with the licence condition on a prioritised basis
D0409-SIP:02	Provision of secondary Treatment	С	31/12/2015	Yes	Not Started		The improvement programme will be reviewed by Irish Water to assess the works required to comply with the licence condition on a prioritised basis

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improven	nents Programme for this Agglomeration.			

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER		
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2014	No			
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Yes		No			

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2014

5.2 SHELLFISH IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Shellfish Impact Assessment Report has been included in the AER

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	Yes
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	Clerical error pH range - process has commenced
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	Yes
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	Change of Downstream Ambient monitoring point to enable safe access
Have these processes commenced?	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	Yes

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 11/03/2020

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

			Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No)			Mean (mg/l)			
Ambient Monitoring	Irish National	EPA Feature Coding	Bathing	Drinking	FWPM	Shellfish	Current WFD	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)
Point from WWDL (or as	Grid Reference	Tool code	Water	Water			Status		
agreed with EPA)	(Easting,								
	Northing)								
Upstream Monitoring	272288,								
Point	115649	TPEFFD0409SW001	No	No	Yes	No	Unassigned	1.500	0.010
Downstream Monitoring	272014,								
Point	115445	TPEFFD0409SW001	No	No	No	No	Unassigned	2.830	0.057
Difference								1.330	0.047
EQS								1.500	0.035
% of EQS								88.667%	134.286%

0.094 0.343 0.249 0.065 383.077%