# Annual Environmental Report 2019



Louth Village

D0263-01

#### **CONTENTS**

#### 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

#### 2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
  - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP
  - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP
  - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge
  - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP
  - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP

#### **3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS SUMMARY**

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
  - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS
- 4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS
  - 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
    - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
  - 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
    - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
    - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
    - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 DRINKING WATER ABSTRACTION POINT RISK ASSESSMENT
- 5.2 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

#### 6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 7 APPENDIX
  - 7.1 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY

### **1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER**

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0263-01, Louth Village, in Louth in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

### **1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES**

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no capital works, significant changes or operational improvements undertaken this year.

### **1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY**

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 1200, the treatment type is 2 - Secondary treatment

### **1.3 ELV OVERVIEW**

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference Treatment Plant		Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF2100D0263SW001	LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP	Treated	Compliant	N/A

### **1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER**

Assessment / Report

Included in AER

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.

### **2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY**

### **2.1 LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE**

#### **2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP**

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/I	6	215	71.67
COD-Cr mg/l	6	6 431	
Suspended Solids mg/l	6	193	54.17
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	344.64	277.49

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

#### 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF2100D0263SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	15.47	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	25	62.5	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	1.19	Pass
pH pH units	6-9	6-9	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	7.39	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	4	8	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	1.38	Pass

Notes:

1 - This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

#### **Cause of Exceedance(s):**

#### Not applicable

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

#### 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF2100D0263SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	295817, 301646	RS06L020540	No	No	No	No	Good
Downstream	295960, 301634	RS06L020550	No	Yes	No	No	Good

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary.

#### **Significance of Results:**

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results does not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD and Ammonia concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

#### 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP

#### 2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Influent mass loading (kg/year) Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	
SS	2658	129	95
COD	11441	1675	85
cBOD	3913	149	96

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

#### 2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	810
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	270
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	344.64
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	277.49
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	1200

LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP	
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) <sup>Note1</sup>	721
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	479
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

#### **2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - LOUTH VILLAGE WWTP**

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	accontance procedure for the secontance faculty for t			
There is	There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.								

### **3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS**

### **3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY**

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints	
There were no relevant environme	ental complaints in 2019.			

### **3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY**

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

#### **3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS**

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)		
There were no reportable incidents in 2019.						

### **3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS**

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2019	0
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2019	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

### **4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS**

### **4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT**

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

#### **4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION**

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	lrish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2019 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2019 (m3)	Monitoring Status
твс	295867, 301648	No	Low	Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	N/A
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

## 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

#### 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0263-SIP:01	complete improvements to comply with ELVs specified in Schedule A. Implement, in accordance with condition 5.6.1, either (a) improvements to the existing waste water works to achieve compliance with the emission limit values specified in Schedule A.1: Primary Waste Water discharge & Monitoring of this licence, or (b) an alternative primary discharge point, or (c) connection to another agglomeration.	С	31/12/2019	No	Not Started		The improvement programme will be reviewed by Irish Water to assess the works required to comply with the licence condition on a prioritised basis.

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

### 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

	Improvement Identifier	nt Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements		Expected Completion Date	Comments	
There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.						

#### 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

### **5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS**

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Yes	2016	No	
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2016	No	

### **5.1 DRINKING WATER ABSTRACTION POINT RISK ASSESSMENT**

The Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2016.

### **5.2 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT**

The Priority Substances Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2016.

### **6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF**

### **6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS**

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 06/03/2020

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

### **7 APPENDIX**

#### Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

### 2019 Louth Village Ambient Monitoring Data

#### Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Table

			Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No)			Yes/No)
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish
Upstream Monitoring Point	295817, 301646	RS06L020540				
Downstream Monitoring Point	295960, 301634	RS06L020550	No	Yes	No	No

		Mean (mg/l)				
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Current WFD Status	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)		
Upstream Monitoring Point	Good	2.875	0.0495	0.0575		
Downstream Monitoring Point	Good	3.5	0.04825	0.0825		
Difference		0.625	0.00125	0.025		
EQS		1.500	0.035	0.065		
% of EQS		41.667%	-3.571%	38.462%		

#### 2019 Ambient Monitoring Summary

Upstream Results									
Date		Ammonia (mg/l)	Ortho P (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	D.O (% Sat)	pH (mg/l)		
12.04.19	U/S	0.06	0.007	1	2	107.7	7.92		
04.07.19	U/S	0.04	0.051	8	10	94.3	7.53		
05.09.19	U/S	0.05	0.118	1.6	13	94.7	7.79		
14.11.19	U/S	0.08	0.022	0.9	5	96.3	7.48		
	Mean	0.0575	0.0495	2.875	7.5	98.25	7.68		
9	5%ile	0.077	0.10795	7.04	12.55	105.99	7.9005		

Downstream Results									
Date	Ammonia (mg/l)	Ortho P (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	TSS (mg/l)	D.O (% Sat)	pH (mg/l)			
12.04.19	D/S	0.07	0.007	1	4	108.6	7.89		
04.07.19	D/S	0.05	0.037	10	9	96.5	7.55		
05.09.19	D/S	0.03	0.111	1.4	25	88.2	7.74		
14.11.19	D/S	0.18	0.038	1.6	1	99.6	7.43		
Mean		0.0825	0.04825	3.5	9.75	98.225	7.6525		
g	5%ile	0.1635	0.10005	8.74	22.6	107.25	7.8675		

**Note:** Where the concentration in the result is less than the limit of detection (LOD), a value of 50% of the LOD was used in calculating the mean and 95%ile concentrations.