Annual Environmental Report 2019



Kilmalock

D0106-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 KILMALLOCK WWTP TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY KILMALLOCK WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY KILMALLOCK WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary for The Treatment Plant Discharge -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR KILMALLOCK WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO KILMALLOCK WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
 - 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 7 APPENDIX

7.1 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY

7.2 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2019 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0106-01, Killmallock, in Limerick in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

None

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

• KILMALLOCK WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 4000, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF1900D0106SW002	KILMALLOCK WWTP	Treated	Non-Compliant	Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER

Assessment / Report

Included in AER

There are no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 KILMALLOCK WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
COD-Cr mg/l	12	532	169.89
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	94.9	22.51
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	4.71	2.66
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/I	12	208	66.98
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	220	91.54
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	1428	702

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater tretament plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF1900D0106SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	50	100	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	9.42	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	15	30	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1.62	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	15	37.5	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	5.26	Pass
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.72	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	1	1	0.14	Fail
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.24	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.3	0.36	N/A	12	2	1	0.1	Fail
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	4.6	

Notes:

1 - This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Under dosing Ferric for phosphate and ammonia failure unexplained plant operational samples were ok.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is not compliant with the ELVs set in the WWDL.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF1900D0106SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	161054, 127818	RS24L010380	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	166554, 124729	RS24L010200	No	No	No	No	Moderate

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - KILMALLOCK WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
COD	46311	2564	94
SS	24952	1433	94
cBOD	18257	440	98
ТР	725	65	91
TN	6135	1188	81

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - KILMALLOCK WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

KILMALLOCK WWTP				
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	2220			
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)				
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	1428			

KILMALLOCK WWTP			
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)			
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed			
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}			
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining			
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No		

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - KILMALLOCK WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Other	2386.47	Weight (Tonnes)	1200	2	No	Yes	Yes

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints			
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2019.						

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	ent Type Cause No. of incident occurrences		Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)	
Breach of ELV	Other	1	No	Yes	

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2019	1
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2019	1
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2019 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2019 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW3	160258, 128282	Yes	Unknown	Not Meeting	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	161316, 127733	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	159985, 128253	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
твс	твс	No	Unknown	Not yet Assessed	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A

SWO Summary	
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0106-SIP:01	Discharges from SW1 must cease by 01/01/11 at the latest.	A	01/01/2011	Yes	Works Completed		
D0106-SIP:02	New WWTP and ancillary works	С	01/01/2011	Yes	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement	Improvement Description / or any Operational	Improvement	Expected Completion	Comments
Identifier	Improvements	Source	Date	
There are no Improven	nents Programme for this Agglomeration.			

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Small Stream Risk Score Assessment	Yes	2016	No	

5.1 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

The Small Stream Risk Score Assessment Report has been included in the AER 2016

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	No

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 18/03/2020

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Katherine Walshe

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Appendix 7.2 - Small Stream Risk Score Assessment

River Loobagh Upstream kilmallock Outfall.

L	ocation								Parameter			
Station	Station Reference	Station Easting	Station Northing	Sample Reference	Sample Date	Ammonia NH3-N	Biological Oxygen Demand	Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	Ortho-Phosphate PO4-P	Н	Temperature	Total Nitrogen N
						mg/l	mg/l	% 02	mg/l	pH units	Degrees C	mg/l
North Bridge U/S Kilmallock STP - E11 North Bridge U/S Kilmallock STP - E11	R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410 R524L010410	160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645 160645	128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426 128426	19370104 19370490 19370930 19371319 19371699 19372055 19372316 19372703 19373007 19373496 19373939	15-Jan-2019 12-Feb-2019 12-Mar-2019 9-Apr-2019 11-June-2019 9-July-2019 13-Aug-2019 3-Sep-2019 8-Oct-2019 12-Nov-2019	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.04 0.07 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	1 1 1 1 1 3.42 1 1 1 1	98.4 92 100 88 105 98 102 95 111 98.5 91.8	0.04 0.034 0.049 0.018 0.001 0.0025 0.044 0.103 0.046 0.053 0.055	8.2 8.1 8 8.4 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.1 8.3 8.1 7.9	8.2 8.8 7.3 9.3 11.2 16.3 13.7 14 11.6 6.9	
Norlth Bridge U/S Kilmallock STP - E11	RS24L010410	160645	128426	19374353	10-Dec-2019	0.04	1	96.6	0.081	8.1	9.1	
			EQS Std	indivi	dual value					6-9		
EQS Std					tatus mean	≤0.065	≤1.5		≤0.035	n/a	n/a	n/a
EQS Std				good st	atus 95%ile	≤0.14	≤2.6	>80, <120	≤0.075	n/a	n/a	n/a
1				nean	0.029	1.202	98.025	0.045	8.175	10.700	#DIV/0!	
				9	5%ile	0.054	2.089	107.700	0.091	8.345	15.035	#NUM!
				mean	compliance	yes	yes	ļ	no	yes		
				95%ile	compliance	yes	yes	yes	no	yes		

half of level of detection for statistical purposes

exceeds Surface Waters Regulations good status Note: Individual results which exceed the good status mean are highlighted in red

River Deel Downstream of Kilmallock Outfall.

L						Parameter						
Station	Station Reference	Station Easting	Station Northing	Sample Reference	Sample Date	Ammonia NH3-N	Biological Oxygen Demand	Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	Ortho-Phosphate PO4-P	Н	Temperature	Total Nitrogen N
						mg/l	mg/l	% O2	mg/l	pH units	Degrees C	mg/l
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19370103	15-Jan-2019	0.02	1	104	0.017	7.8	9.4	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19370102	15-Jan-2019	0.04	1	96.5	0.026	8	8	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19370489	12-Feb-2019	0.07	1	75	0.015	8	9.1	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19370929	12-Mar-2019	0.02	1	97	0.022	7.8	7.6	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19371318	9-Apr-2019	0.02	1	81	0.015	7.9	9.6	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19371698	14-May-2019	0.02	1	111	0.011	8.3	10.3	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19372054	11-June-2019	0.02	1	99	0.129	8	11.9	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19372315	9-July-2019	0.12	1	108	0.025	8.2	14.5	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19372702	13-Aug-2019	0.02	1	100	0.025	7.9	12.9	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19373006	3-Sep-2019	0.21	1	110	0.034	8.1	14	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19373495	8-Oct-2019	0.02	1	97.1	0.012	7.9	11.6	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19373938	12-Nov-2019	0.02	1	95.7	0.016	7.7	7.2	
Ballinanima Br E10	RS24L010200	166554	124729	19374352	10-Dec-2019	0.08	1	97	0.016	7.9	10.1	
			EQS Std	indivi	dual value					6-9	n/a	
EQS Std					tatus mean	≤0.065	≤1.5		≤0.035	n/a	n/a	n/a
EQS Std					atus 95%ile	≤0.14	≤2.6	>80, <120	≤0.075	n/a		n/a
					mean	0.052	1.000	97.792	0.028	7.962		#DIV/0!
					5%ile	0.156	1.000	110.400	0.072	8.240		#NUM!
					mean compliance		yes	yes	no	yes		
				95%ile	compliance	yes	yes	yes	no	yes		

half of level of detection for statistical purposes

exceeds Surface Waters Regulations good status

Note: Individual results which exceed the good status mean are highlighted in red

			Receiving Waters Designation (Yes/No) Y			Yes	Mean (mg/l)			
Ambient Monitoring	Irish National	EPA Feature	Bathing	Drinking	FWPM	Shellfish	Current WFD	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)
Point from WWDL (or as	Grid Reference	Coding Tool	Water	Water			Status			
agreed with EPA)	(Easting,	code								
	Northing)									
Upstream Monitoring										
Point	160645, 128426	RS24L010410					Moderate	1.202	0.045	0.029
Downstream Monitoring										
Point	166554, 124729	RS24L010200	No	No	No	No	Good	1.000	0.028	0.052
Difference								-0.202	-0.017	0.023
EQS								2.600	0.075	0.140
% of EQS								-7.769%	-22.667%	16.429%

River: Loobo	igh	Code:	Date:	27-05-19	Time: IO:	15 a.m.		
Station no.	0700	Location:	s kilmalla	ck Outfall	Grid (6 figure):			
	100	Stream Order	19 5		Stream flow:			
Field Che	emistry	Modifications: Y/	N Canalised-wide	ned-bank erosion-	Riffe/Glide			
DO%	98%	arterial drainage			Slow flów			
DO mg/l	7.10	Dominant Types	:					
Temp (°C)	13.0.	Boulder (>128mm)	1					
Conductivity	254	Cobble (32-128mm						
рН	7.1	Gravel (8-32mm)						
Bank width (cm)	8 M	Fine Gravel (2-8mr	n)					
Wet width (cm)	5M	Silt (<0.25-2000)						
Avg Depth (cm)	30cm	Slope: Low - Mod	ium - High - Von	High	0	_		
Staff gauge	NO.	Geologie Colores	A Cilles and Mine	, rugn	Shading: High - Modera	te Low - None		
Velocity	Colour	Geology: Laicarec	as-Siliceous-Mixe	0		F		
Torrential	None	Substratum Cond	fition: Calcareou	s-Compacted-	Cattle access Y: upstrea	m – downstream or N		
Moderate	Moderate : <	Substratum:						
Slow	High	Stoney bottom-Muc	ddy bottom-Mud	over stones	Photo: Y N			
Very slow		Degree of siltatio	n: Clean-Slight-N	ioderate-Heavv				
Clarity	Discharge	Depth of mude N	one: close 1-5cr	$n_{1} = 10 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$				
Very clear	Flood	Linear Man - P	PILOT SAUTIO 1-DU	Abund-ut				
Clear 🗸	Normal	Litter: None - Pre	sent - Moderate ·	Abundant				
Slightly turbid	Low	Filamentous Alga	e:		Sewage Fungus:	A have a large h		
Highly turbid	Very Low	Main land use u/	rioderate - Abund	Samale	Sampled in Minutes	e - Abundant		
ringing canola	Dry	Pasture	Urban	retained:	Pond net x $2/$			
	Recent Flood	Bog	Tillage	Y/N	Stone wash x			
		Forestry	Other		Weed gween x 1	Weed sween x 1		
General Comment	-e+				Heccu Sheep X			
The macroinvertebra	ates are divided into	Macroinverteb the following 5 spec	rate Composi	sition		Relative Abundance		
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	phemeroptera (3-tai lecoptera (2-tails) - r richoptera 5.OL.D (Gastropoda, <i>sellus</i> e total number of tax	is) – note that tails n note that tails may b Oligochaeta and Dip ra and relative abunc	nay be damaged e damaged during tera) lance of each ma	during sampling g sampling croinvertebrate groi	up below: (Abundance – Ab)	1-5 1 6-20 2 21-50 3 51-100 4 101+ 5		
Ephemeroptera:		Ecdvonumis Ab	Plecon	tera:		Leuctra Ab		
·		Rhithrogena Ab	(Isoperla Ab		
		Heptagenia Ab	2	, <u> </u>	Pro	tonemura Ab		
	P-1004	Enhemerella Ab			Amn	hinemura Ah		
	arrandoo ar	Caenic Ah			1 unp	Perla Ah		
	Pa	rolantonblohin Ab				Dipacrac Ab		
	Pdi E-1	acproprietorid AU		processive and annexes	An	DITULIAS RU		
	EPH				Uu	er Piecop Au		
		Other Ephem Ab	5		Othe	er Piecop AD		
Total no. of tax	a J Total Rel	ative Abundance	4 Total n	o. of Taxa	Total Relative	Abundance		
Trichoptera:	Hydropsychida	e Ab 2 G.OL.C): Lymnae	a (G) Ab	Chironomidae (D) Ab	Asellus.		
	Polycentropodida	e AD	Potamopyrgu	S(G) AD	Chironomus (D) Ab	Absent		
	KII 8CO hi	Id AD	Planorb	G (G) AD	Diamote (D) Ab	rew/Low		
	rinopotarnioa	e Ab	Ancylu		Tioulidaa (D) Ab	Numerous		
	Spricostomatida	e Ab	PTIVS		Ceratonoponidae (D) AD	Transierous		
	Glossocomatida		Ficeniell		Other GOLD Ab	NOTE: Asellus		
Lepidostomatidae Ab Tubificidae (OI) Ab Other GOL						must be		
	Other Trichoptera	Ab	, abirelate			recorded as		
Total no. of	7 Total Re	ative /			Product Product Advantation	are found		
Taxa	Abund	lance 🔾	iotal no.	or taxa 2	iotal Relative Abundance	2		

NOTE *Baetis* is an Ephemeropteran and is the most commonly occurring invertebrate genus in streams in Ireland. It is vital that *Baetis* is not counted in SSRS. See Appendix B for more details on how to identify *Baetis*.

Step 1. Calculate the Index Score by circling the appropriate box representing the total number of taxa and the total abundance calculated from *each macroinvertebrate group* calculated from page 1 of the recording sheet and enter in to the boxes in Step 2.



Step 3. Calculate the Total Index Score, the Average Index Score and the SSR Score using the boxes below

Total Index Score (TIS) sum (a+b+c+d+e) Average Index Score (AIS) TIS/5 (5 for 5 groups) (AIS x 2)

Step 4. Assess the stream by comparing the final SSR score with the categories below and tick the appropriate box

> 7.25 Probably not at risk	> 6.5 - 7.25 Indeterminate Stream may be at risk	<6.5 Stream at risk	
Surveyor (signed):	Anly Name (print): 6	WRIAN INSLEY	Date: 27 / 05 / 2019

River: Loop	och	Code:	Date:	27-05-19	Time: 910	0	
Station no.	gic	Location: uls	K. haar	Mark Outful	Grid (6 figure):		
¥		Stream Order:	KING	enock ouclu	Stream flow:		
Field Che	mictra	Madificationes V/M Cap	licod wido	nod-bapk prosion-	Riffle		
DO%	101	arterial drainage	anseu- mue	HEU-DATIK ETUSIOTI-	Riffle/Glide Slow flow		
DO mg/l	7.26	Dominant Types:			Diotr flott		
Temp (°C)	13.1	Bedrock Boulder (>128mm)					
Conductivity	2 50.	Cobble (32-128mm)					
рН	7.2	Gravel (8-32mm)					
Bank width (cm)	8M	Fine Gravel (2-8mm) Sand (0.25-2mm)					
Wet width (cm)	Sug	Silt (<0.25mm)					
Avg Depth (cm)	35 cm	Slope: Low - Medium - I	ligh – Very	/ High			
Staff gauge	NO	Geology: Calcareous-Silis	ceous-Mixe	d	Shading: High Moder	e – Low - None	
Torrential	None	Substratum Condition:	Calcareou	- s-Comnacted-	Cattle access Y: unstream	- downstream or M	
Fast 🗸	Slight	Loose - Normal	Carcareeoa	5 computed			
Moderate	Moderate 🧹	Substratum:					
Slow Very slow	High	Stoney bottom-Muddy bo		over stones	Photo: Y (N)		
Clarity	Discharge	Degree of siltation: Cle	an-Slight-M	Ioderate-Heavy			
Very clear	Flood	Depth of mud: None: <	1cm: 1-5cr	n: 5-10cm: >10cm			
Clear 🧹	Normal	Litter: None - Present -	Moderate -	Abundant			
Slightly turbid	Low	Filamentous Algae: None – Present – Modera	te - Abunda	ant	Sewage Fungus: None – Present – Moderate	- Abundant	
Highly turbid	Very Low 🧹	Main land use u/s:		Sample	Sampled in Minutes:		
	Dry Recent Flood	Pasture Bog	Tillage	retained:	Pond net x 2		
	Recent Hood	Forestry	Other		Stone wash x		
				1	Weed sweep x 1		
The macroinvertebra	tes are divided into	Macroinvertebrate the following 5 specific gro (s) – note that tails may be onte that tails may be down	Composition of the second during the second duri	s ition during sampling		Relative Abundance	
Group 3 = Ti	richoptera	iote that tails may be dath	ageu ourini	g samping		6-20 2 21-50 3	
• Group $4 = G$.OL.D (Gastropoda,	Oligochaeta and Diptera)				51-100 4	
Calculate the	total number of tax	a and relative abundance of	of each mai	croinvertebrate grou	p below: (Abundance – Ab)	101+ 5	
Ephemeroptera:		Ecdvonurus Ab	Plecop	tera:		Leuctra Ab	
-	parameter and a second s	Rhithrogena Ab	j			Isoperia Ab	
		Heptagenia Ab 2	5		Proto	onemura Ab	
		Ephemerella Ab	1		Amph	inemura Ab	
F	والوطور وسندروى ويرون الماد منطب ويوبسوا مراداتها والمداو	Caenis Ab				Perla Ab	
	Par	raleptophlebia Ab				Dinocras Ab	
	Eph	emera danica Ab	1		Othe	r Plecop Ab	
F.		Other Ephem Ab	August 1		Other	Plecop Ab	
Total no. of taxa	a 3 Total Rela	ative Abundance 4	Total n	o. of Taxa 📿	Total Relative A	bundance	
Trichoptera:	Hydropsychida	e Ab 2 G.OL.D:	Lymnae	a (G) Ab	Chironomidae (D) Ab	Asellus.	
	Polycentropodida	e Ab Pc	tamopyrgu	s (G) Ab	Chironomus (D) Ab	Absent -	
	Rh aco hli	a Ab	Planorbi	s(G) Ab	Simulidae (D) Ab	i Few/Low	
	Limpenhilida	e Ab	Dhuc	a (G) Ab	Tinulidae (D) Ab	Numerous	
	Sericostomatida	e Ab	umbriculu	s (OI) Ab	Ceratopogonidae (D) Ab		
	Glossosomatida	e Ab	Eiseniella	a (OI) Ab	Other GOLD Ab	NOTE: Asellus	
Lepidostomatidae Ab Tubificidae (Ol) Ab						recorded as	
	Other Trichoptera	Ab		- pressioner		absent if none	
Fotal no. of Taxa	3 Total Rel Abund	lative 5	Total no.	of Taxa 2	Total Relative Abundance 2	are round	

NOTE *Baetis* is an Ephemeropteran and is the most commonly occurring invertebrate genus in streams in Ireland. It is vital that *Baetis* is not counted in SSRS. See Appendix B for more details on how to identify *Baetis*.

Step 1. Calculate the Index Score by circling the appropriate box representing the total number of taxa and the total abundance calculated from *each macroinvertebrate group* calculated from page 1 of the recording sheet and enter in to the boxes in Step 2.



Step 3. Calculate the Total Index Score, the Average Index Score and the SSR Score using the boxes below

Total Index Score (TIS) sum (a+b+c+d+e) Average Index Score (AIS) TIS/5 (5 for 5 groups) SSR Score (AIS x 2)

Step 4. Assess the stream by comparing the final SSR score with the categories below and tick the appropriate box

> 7.25 Probably not at risk	> 6.5 - 7.25 Indeterminate Stream may be at risk	< 6.5 Stream at risk	
Surveyor (signed):	Name (print): <u>F</u>	ADRIAN INSLEY Date: 6	17,05,2019.