Annual Environmental Report

2018



Demintum

D0244-01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

- 1.1 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER
- 1.2 TREATMENT TYPE
- 1.2.1 DERRINTURN WWTP
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.3.1 DERRINTURN WWTP
- 1.4 SLUDGE REMOVAL

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

- 2.1 Summary Report on Monthly Influent Monitoring
- 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY DERRINTURN WWTP
- 2.2 DISCHARGES FROM THE AGGLOMERATION
 - 2.2.1 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY DERRINTURN WWTP
- 2.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary
- 2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Derrinturn WWTP
- 2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Mean (mg/l) Derrinturn WWTP

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

- 3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report
- 3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary Derrinturn WWTP
- 3.2 TREATMENT CAPACITY REPORT SUMMARY
- 3.3 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.4 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
- 3.4.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
- 3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents
- 3.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS TO THE WWTP

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
- 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION
- 4.1.2 INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

- 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
- 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
- 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 6.2 DECLARATION BY IRISH WATER

7 APPENDIX

- 7.1 Ambient monitoring summary
- 7.2 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0244-01, Derrinturn, in Kildare in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

1.1 Licence specific reporting included in AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
Small Stream Risk Score Assessment	Yes

1.2 Treatment Type

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant Derrinturn WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 1600. The treatment process includes the following:

1.2.1 Derrinturn WWTP

Treatment type	Yes / No	Details
Preliminary Treatment	Yes	Screen/Grit removal
Primary Treatment	No	
Secondary Treatment	Yes	SBR
Nutrient Removal	Yes	Chemical dosing
Tertiary Treatment	No	

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration.

1.3 ELV Overview

1.3.1 Derrinturn WWTP

Compliance Status	
Were all parameters compliant for Derrinturn WWTP	No
Where non compliant see Table 2.2.1 for details of parameters	

1.4 Sludge Removal

The amount of sludge removed from the wastewater treatment plant is shown below along with the transported destination of the sludge from the treatment plant.

Treatment Plant	Sludge type	Quantity	Unit	% Dry Solids	Destination
Derrinturn WWTP	Liquid Sludge	1775.46	Weight (Tonnes)	Unknown	D0002 Osberstown

Annual Statement of Measures

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken.

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented in below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

2.1.1 Influent Monitoring Summary - Derrinturn WWTP

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Suspended Solids mg/l	7	996	470.23
Total Nitrogen mg/l	7	134.5	110.06
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	7	23.6	18.86
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	7	369	256.85
COD-Cr mg/l	7	1158	926.18
Hydraulic Capacity		2009	564

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 3.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

2.2.1 Effluent Monitoring Summary - Derrinturn WWTP

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.19	0.38	0	8	2	1	0.23	Fail
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	0.5	0.6	0	8	2	1	0.35	Fail
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	0.3	0.6	0	8	3	3	0.77	Fail
Conductivity 20 C μS/cm	0	0	0	8	0	0	643.35	N/A
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	5	10	0	8	0	0	1.36	Pass
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	0	8	0	0	37.99	Pass
pH pH units	6 to 9	0	0	8	0	0	7.5	Pass
Total Nitrogen mg/l	0	0	0	8	0	0	4.58	N/A
Suspended Solids mg/l	8	20	0	8	0	0	1.98	Pass

Notes:

1- This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied.

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Shock load to the WWTP.

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is non-compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence. There were 2 exceedances in relation to the Total Phosphorus ELV, 1 of which was above the Condition 2 ELV. There were 3 exceedances in relation to the Ammonia-Total (as N) ELV, all of which were above the Condition 2 ELV. There were 2 exceedances in relation to the Ortho-P ELV, of which 1 was above the Condition 2 ELV. The impact on the receiving water is assessed further on Section 2.3.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary - Derrinturn WWTP

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	273020, 231285	TPEFF1400D0244SW001	No	No	No	No	Poor
Downstream	269666, 230148	TPEFF1400D0244SW001	No	No	No	No	Poor

2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Summary - Derrinturn WWTP

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient Monitoring Summary.**

Significance of Results:

The WWTP was non-compliant with the ELVs set out in the wastewater discharge licence.

Based on the 2018 results, the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant may be having an observable negative impact on the water quality in terms of Ortho-P and Ammonia-N. It should be noted that the mean U/S concentrations does not meet the ESQ for Ammonia-N or Ortho-P.

It is noted that consistent achievement with the ELVs would benefit the quality of the receiving water.

The discharge from the WWTP has no observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary - Derrinturn WWTP

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
COD	214038.61	6100.56	97.15
TP	4358.65	56.13	98.71
cBOD	59358.23	218.5	99.63
TN	25434.66	735.22	97.11
ss	108669.36	317.92	99.71

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Derrinturn WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m³/day) - As Constructed	1080
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	360
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m³/day)	2009
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m³/day)	564
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	1600
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)	1683
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	0
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	Yes

3.3 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints Nature of Complaint		Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints					
There is no Complaint data includ	There is no Complaint data included in the AER.							

3.4 Reported Incidents Summary

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.4.1 Summary of Incidents

Incident Type Cause		No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Non-compliance	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	6	Yes	Yes
Spillage	Other	1	No	Yes
Non-compliance	Shock load to WWTP	2	Yes	No

3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2018	9
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2018	9
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

3.5 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)	
There is	There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

No Appendix Included.

4.1.1 SWO Identification

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2018 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2018 (m³)	Monitoring Status
SW002	270595, 232156	Yes	Low	Not Meeting			Not Monitored

4.1.2 Inspection Summary Report

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³)?	Not Monitored
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	No
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	No
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments		
There are no Specified Improvement Programmes for this Agglomeration.								

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments			
There are no Improvements Pr	There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.						

4.2.3 Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Small Stream Risk Score Assessment	Yes	2018	Yes	5.1

5.1 Small Stream Risk Score Assessment

The Small Stream Risk Score Assessment Report is included in Appendix 7.2 - Small Stream Risk Score Assessment. A summary of the findings of this report is included below.

Parameter	Value
Condition 5 Improvement Programme Reference	N/A
Does SSRS indicate discharges are posing a pollution risk?	No
Does improvement programme include any procedural and/or infrastructural works?	No
Downstream SSRS Water Quality Risk	At Risk
SSRS Required?	Yes
Upstream SSRS Water Quality Risk	At Risk
What is Downstream SSRS?	2.4

Parameter	Value
What is Upstream SSRS?	1.6

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing WWDL?	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 19/03/2019

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient Monitoring Summary

Appendix 7.2 - Small Stream Risk Score Assessment

Derrinturn 2018 Ambient Monitoring Summary

			Receivir	ng Waters D	esignation (Yes/No)
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish
Upstream Monitoring Point	RS14F010020	273020, 231285				
Downstream Monitoring Point	RS14F010050	269666, 230148	No	No	No	No

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Current WFD Status	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)
Upstream Monitoring Point	Poor	1.000	0.113	0.297
Downstream Monitoring Point	Poor	1.000	0.151	0.350
Difference		0.000	0.039	0.053
EQS		2.600	0.075	0.140
% of EQS		0.000%	51.429%	37.755%

Significance of results

The WWTP was non-compliant with the ELVs set out in the wastewater discharge licence

The discharge from the WWTP has no observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

Based on the 2018 results, the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant may be having an observable negative impact on the water quality in terms of Ortho-P and Ammonia-N. It should be noted that the mean U/S concentrations does not meet the ESQ for Ammonia-N or Ortho-P.

It is noted that consistent achievement with the ELVs would benefit the quality of the receiving water.

2018 Ambient Monitoring Data

Upstream Results									
Date		Ammonia (mg/l)	Ortho P (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	D.O (mg/l)	pH (mg/l)		
21-Feb-2018	U/S	0.480	0.030	1.000	3.0	10.60	7.35		
18-Apr-2018	U/S	0.490	0.050	1.000	6.1	9.80	7.66		
25-May-2018	U/S	0.380	0.050	1.000	2.1	8.30	7.89		
5-July-2018	U/S	0.300	0.050	1.000	0.5	8.40	7.79		
21-Aug-2018	U/S	0.310	0.070	1.000	0.8	8.60	7.66		
25-Sep-2018	U/S	0.020	0.490	1.000	1.1	8.79	7.33		
6-Nov-2018	U/S	0.100	0.050	1.000	0.2	8.44	7.55		
	Mean	0.297	0.113	1.000	2.0	8.99	7.60		
9	5%ile	0.487	0.364	1.000	5.2	10.36	7.86		

		Dow	nstream	Results			
Date		Ammonia (mg/l)	Ortho P (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	D.O (mg/l)	pH (mg/l)
21-Feb-2018	D/S	0.500	0.030	1.000	3.5	10.50	7.38
18-Apr-2018	D/S	0.510	0.050	1.000	3.8	10.00	7.77
25-May-2018	D/S	0.290	0.080	1.000	2.5	8.60	7.71
5-July-2018	D/S	0.700	0.100	1.000	3.6	8.50	7.51
21-Aug-2018	D/S	0.210	0.160	1.000	1.4	8.60	7.31
25-Sep-2018	D/S	0.030	0.380	1.000	1.7	8.13	7.31
6-Nov-2018	D/S	0.210	0.260	1.000	2.6	8.53	7.35
	Mean	0.350	0.151	1.000	2.7	8.98	7.48
g	5%ile	0.643	0.344	1.000	3.7	10.35	7.75

Small Stream Risk Score (SSRS) Assessment

DERRINTURN WASTEWATER AGGLOMERATION

Co. Kildare

October 2018



Aquatic Services Unit (ASU) University College Cork (UCC) ERI Building, Lee Road, Cork P: +353 21 490 1935/ F: +353 21 490 1940

For: Kildare County Council, Water Services Section, Osberstown WWTP, Kildare County Council, Naas, Co. Kildare

Document Control:

Version No.	Date	Author	Signature
1	02/12/18	L. Williams	LAMelino

Table of Contents

1	Intro	oduction	3
		hodology	
	2.1	SSRS	4
3	Resu	ults	5
	3.1	SSRS Summary	5
	3.2	Water Quality	5
	3.3	Site Photographs	5
	3.4	SSRS Comparison 2014 - 2018	6
4	Refe	erences	. 7

1 INTRODUCTION

This report sets out findings of Small Stream Risk Score (SSRS) assessments at sites upstream and downstream of Derrinturn Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP), Co. Kildare. The discharge is to the Ballyshannon River.

Assessments were carried out on October 2^{nd} 2018, in good weather conditions during below average flow conditions.

SSRS is a biological risk assessment system for detecting potential sources of diffuse pollution in 1st and 2nd order streams that may be causing main channel sites to fail in reaching Good Ecological Status (Anon., 2009). Sites are evaluated based on their macroinvertebrate assemblage and are assigned to one of 3 risk categories: "At risk", "May be at risk" and "Probably not at risk". "Risk" refers to the risk of the watercourse causing water quality problems in larger waterbodies downstream as a result of being polluted.

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 SSRS

Samples were collected according to the EPA Standard Operating Procedure for River Monitoring adhering to ISO Standard for kick sampling. Under this system, standard 2-minute, travelling, kick-samples are taken in the fast flowing (riffle) areas of the rivers using a long-handled sampling net (250 mm width, mesh size 0.25mm). Riffle areas of streams receive preference in sampling, as the fauna of riffles tends to be more sensitive to pollution impacts. Stone washing is employed to ensure that "clinging" species, e.g. leeches and gastropods, are adequately collected.

Samples were washed and placed in a large, white plastic tray on the bankside and covered in stream water. Samples were then carefully examined and identified in the field, recording absolute abundance of faunal groups for SSRS assessment purposes. Where necessary, and for quality control purposes, same samples were preserved in situ with 70% IMS alcohol; placed in labelled plastic bags and brought back to the laboratory to check identification.

Scores are calculated by examining the relative abundance of faunal groups and through use of standard SSRS fieldsheets and score calculator (Anon., 2009). Scores can range between 0 (lowest; poor water quality) and 11.2 (highest; good water quality). Risk category is assigned based on the individual site score as follows: >7.25 = Probably not at risk; >6.5 - 7.25 = Indeterminate, stream may be at risk; <6.5 = Stream at risk.

3 RESULTS

3.1 SSRS Summary

Appendix 1 contains the SSRS field sheets with score calculations included. **Table 1** summarises the location, SSRS score and risk category for upstream and downstream sites. Sites were sampled October 2nd 2018.

Table 1: SSRS summary 2018 - Derrinturn WWTP

Site	Location (X, Y)	SSR Score	SSRS Risk Category
Upstream	271447 231127	1.6	At risk
Downstream	269664 230138	2.4	At risk

3.2 Water Quality

Both upstream and downstream sites were "At risk" in 2018 according to the SSRS. The upstream site was poorer than downstream. There was complete absence of sensitive fauna (mayflies and stoneflies) at either site. The sites were dominated by tubificid worms, a sign of organic enrichment. Faunal diversity was low at both sites. The upstream site had a high level of cattle access throughout the stream with obvious and considerable defecation.

3.3 Site Photographs



Plate 1: Derrinturn WWTP - upstream (Cushaling) SSRS site (2/10/18)

Plate 2: Derrinturn WWTP - downstream SSRS site (2/10/18)

3.4 SSRS Comparison 2014 - 2018

Table 2 compares SSRS results for sampling covering the years 2014 to 2018. **Figure 1** illustrates trends at sites across sampling years, showing that both sites are consistently "At Risk" and of poor water quality. There were no 2014 results for upstream as the sampling site was only selected in 2015. The upstream site was slightly poorer than downstream for the first time to date. There is clearly a water quality problem in this stream, affecting upstream and downstream of the WWTP discharge.

Table 2: SSRS Comparison 2014 - 2018 Derrinturn WWTP

Site	SSRS						SSRS Risk Category			
Site	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
U/S	N/A	0.8	3.2	3.2	1.6	At risk	At risk	At risk	At risk	At risk
D/S	0.8	0.8	2.4	1.6	2.4	At risk	At risk	At risk	At risk	At risk




Figure 1 – SSRS Comparison 2014 -2018 Derrinturn WWTP

4 REFERENCES

Anon. (2009) Small Streams Risk Score (SSRS) Training Manual. A pollution investigation tool for use in the field. White Young Green, Apex Business Centre, Blackthorn Road, Sandyford, Dublin.


APPENDIX 1 SSRS Sheets

Station no.		Code:	Date:	2/10/	March Control of the	.00 am -	
L	, - 1	Location: DE	RRINTURI	V U/S	Grid (6 figure):	V 7/39.8	-
- 4	1. / /	Stream Order	the Control of the Co		Stream flow:	31/27	
Field Chen	nistry I	Modifications: Y/	N Canalised-wide	ned-bank erosion-	Riffle Riffle/Glide 7	3.,	
DO%		arterial drainage			Slow flow		
DO mg/l		Dominant Types	:		3.011.11011.3		
Temp (°C)		Bedrock					
Conductivity		Boulder (>128mm)					_
pH		Cobble (32-128mm Gravel (8-32mm)		~/ ±/			_
		Fine Gravel (2-8mr	m)+.	day ++			
Bank width (cm)	2.5 M	Sand (0.25-2mm)	+.				-
Wet width (cm)		Silt (<0.25mm) +					
Avg Depth (cm)	20 cm.	Slope: Low - Med	lium – High – Very	y High			
Staff gauge		Geology: Calcare	•		Shading: High - Modera	ite – Low - None	
Velocity	Colour	Substratum Con	/		Cattle assess V	12	ov M
Torrential Fast		Loose - Normal	dition; Calcareou	s-Compacted-	Cattle access Y: upstrea	m – downstream	OF N
Moderate	Moderate	Substratum:		2	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		
Slow	High	Stoney bottom-Mu	ddy bottom-Mud	over stones	Photo: Y / N		
Very slow		Degree of siltati			Thousand I		
Clarity	Discharge						
Very clear	FIGUU	Depth of mud: N			1		
Clear /	Normal	Litter: None - Pre	esent – Moderate	- Abundant			
		Filamentous Alg	ae:		Sewage Fungus:		
Slightly turbid	LOW	None - Present - I	Moderate - Abund		None – Present – Modera	te - Abundant	
Highly turbid		Main land use u,		Sample	Sampled in Minutes:		
		Pasture	Urban Tillage	retained: Y/N	Pond net x		
		Bog Forestry	Other	1.0	Stone wash x		
					Weed sweep x		
The macroinvertebrat	P	Macroinvertel	brate Compo		teneira Catti ery on diver	Relative	
Group 1 = Ep	hemeroptera (3-tails	a) – note that tails	may be damaged	during sampling		PUDUITURIN	-
Group 2 = Ple	acontera (2-tails) - no	ote that tails may b	be damaged durin	g sampling		1-5	1
Group 3 = Tri	cobecia (s. mas) in					1-5 6-20	
	ichoptera					6-20 21-50	3
	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O	Xigochaeta and Dip	otera)			6-20 21-50 51-100	2 3
Group 5 = As	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O cellus				oup below: (Abundance – Ab)	6-20 21-50 51-100	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O cellus	a and relative abun	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	oup below: (Abundance – Ab)	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+	3
Group 5 = As	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O cellus	a and relative abun		acroinvertebrate gr	oup below: (Abundance – Ab)	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O cellus	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr		6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O <i>cellus</i> total number of taxa	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O <i>cellus</i> total number of taxa	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O <i>cellus</i> total number of taxa	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O ethus total number of taxa	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab otonemura Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O ethus total number of taxa	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro Any	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ 101+ 1soperla Ab Isoperla Ab obtonemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O ichologia, O ichologia, O ichologia total number of taxa total number of taxa Ephe	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro Amy Ott	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ 101+ 1soperia Ab Isoperia Ab otonemura Ab Peria Ab Dinocras Ab ther Plecop Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O ichologia, O ichologia, O ichologia total number of taxa total number of taxa Ephe	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab	dance of each ma	acroinvertebrate gr	Pro Ami Ott	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ 101+ 1soperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperla Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, O Della (Gastropoda, O Della (Gastropoda)	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab	Plecop Total n	octorinvertebrate gr	Oth Total Relative	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab otonemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab er Plecop Ab	3
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	ichoptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Orellius total number of taxa Para Ephe	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab	Plecop Total In D: Lymnac	no. of Taxa	Ott Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab otonemura Ab Peria Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab abundance Asellus:	233455
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus) total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Relai Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab G.OL e Ab	Plecop Total in D: Lymnac Potamopyrgi	no. of Taxa	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab otonemura Ab otinemura Ab Peria Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab er Plecop Ab Abundance Asellus: Absen	233455
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Rela Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Rhyacophila	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab G.OL e Ab	Total n Potamopyrg Planort	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab us (G) Ab	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab otonemura Ab othinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab er Plecop Ab Abundance Asellus: Absen Few/Low	233455
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus) total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Relai Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab G.OL e Ab	Total in Planort Potamopyrgi Planort Ancyli	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab us (G) Ab us (G) Ab	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isaperia Ab otonemura Ab Peria Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab er Plecop Ab abundance Asellus: Absen Few/Low Common/	233455
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Rela Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Rhyacophila	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab a Ab a Ab e Ab	Total in Planort Potamopyrgi Planort Ancyli	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab us (G) Ab	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab otonemura Ab othinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab er Plecop Ab Abundance Asellus: Absen Few/Low	233455
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Rela Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Rhyacophila Philopotamidae	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Ephemerella Ab aleptophiebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab stive Abundance e Ab a Ab e Ab e Ab e Ab	Total in Planort Potamopyrgi Planort Ancyli	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab bs (G) Ab bs (G) Ab ss (G) Ab	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperl	2 3 4 5 5
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Choptera OL.D (Gastropoda, Oriellus total number of taxa Para Ephe Total Rela Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Rhyacophilia Philopotamidae Limnephilidae	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab a Ab e Ab e Ab e Ab e Ab e Ab	Total n Planorb Potamoprop Planorb Ancyh Lumbriculu Eiseniele	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab us (G) Ab	Ott Oth Total Relative Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab Tipulidae (D) Ab	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab otonemura Ab Peria Ab Dinocras Ab her Plecop Ab abundance Aselius: Absen Few/Low Common/ Numerous NOTE: Ase	2 3 4 5 5
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Para Ephe Total Rela Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Rhyacophila Philopotamidae Sericostomatidae	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerelia Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab tive Abundance e Ab a Ab e Ab	Total n Planorb Potamoprop Planorb Ancyh Lumbriculu Eiseniele	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab bs (G) Ab bs (G) Ab bs (G) Ab bs (G) Ab	Otto Oth	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab Isoperl	2 3 4 5
Group 5 = As Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of taxa	Para Ephe (Total Relai Hydropsychidae Polycentropodidae Umnephilidae Sericostornatidae Glossosomatidae Glossosomatidae Chapter (Classicostornatidae Clossosomatidae Clossosomatidae Chapter (Classicostornatidae Clossosomatidae Clossosoma	a and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab aleptophlebia Ab emera danica Ab Other Ephem Ab titive Abundance e Ab a Ab e Ab	Total n Planorb Potamoprop Planorb Ancyh Lumbriculu Eiseniele	no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab us (G) Ab	Otto Oth	6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isaperia Ab Isaperi	2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

NOTE Baetis is an Ephemeropteran and is the most commonly occurring invertebrate genus in streams in Ireland. It is vital that Baetis is not counted in SSRS. See Appendix B for more details on how to identify Baetis.

DERRINTURN W/S

Step 1. Calculate the Index Score by circling the appropriate box representing the total number of taxa and the total abundance calculated from **each macroinvertebrate group** calculated from page 1 of the recording sheet and enter in to the boxes in Step 2.



Step 3. Calculate the Total Index Score, the Average Index Score and the SSR Score using the boxes below

Stream may be at risk

Average Index Score (AIS)
Step 4. Assess the stream by comparing the final SSR score with the categories below and tick the appropriate box

> 7.25
Probably not at risk

Average Index Score (AIS)
TIS/5 (5 for 5 groups)

SSR Score
(AIS × 2)

- 6.5
Stream at risk


Surveyor (signed): Mills Name (print) MILLIANS Date: 30 / 11 / 18

River: (US/~	allog	Code:	Date:	2/10/		-45 ans	
Station no.	17	Location: DE	RRINTURI	V D/5	Grid (6 figure):	V 6965	0
D	1/3	Stream Order			Stream flow:	30/2	
		Modifications: Y/	A	and bank aracian	Riffle L	5012	-1
Field Cher	nistry	arterial drainage	V Carranseu-wider	iou-bank crosion-	Riffle/Glide Slow flow		
		Dominant Types:			SIOW HOW		
O mg/l		Bedrock					
emp (°C)		Boulder (>128mm)	2.2				-
onductivity		Cobble (32-128mm					
Н	/	Gravel (8-32mm)	11				
ank width (cm)	28m	Fine Gravel (2-8mm	1) 7				
/et width (cm)	2 M.	Sand (0.25-2mm) ~ Silt (<0.25mm)					
vg Depth (cm)	- Francisco Constitution of the Constitution o	1	D 40-1 1600	. Utob			
	7cm	Slope: Low - Med			Shading: High - Mode	rate - Low - No	ne
taff gauge Velocity	Colour	Geology: Calcared	ius-Siliceous-Mixe	d			
Torrential	None -	Substratum Cond	dition: Calcareous	s-Compacted-	Cattle access Y: upstyle	am - downstre	am or N
Fast	Slight	Loose - Normal		•			
Moderate /	Moderate	Substratum:			(1)		
Slow	High	Stoney bottom-Mu			Photo: Y / N		
Very slow		Degree of siltation	on: Clean-Slight-N	oderate-Heavy			
Clarity	Discharge	Depth of mud: N			n l		
Very clear	Flood		_		"		
Clear i	Normal	Litter: None - Pre	sent – Moderate ·	- Abundant			
		Filamentous Alg	ae:		Sewage Fungus:		
Slightly turbid	Low L	Nane - Present - I	Aoderate - Abund	ant	None - Present - Moder	rate - Abundant	
Highly turbid	Very Low	Main land use u	s:	Sample	Sampled in Minutes:		
	Dry	Pasture -	Urbani	retained: Y / N	Pond net x /-5		
	Recent Flood	Bog	Tillage Other	1714	Stone wash x 0 5		
		Forestry	Otici	1	Weed sweep x		
	Lon				some calcare	Relativ	
Group 1 - Fr	ates are divided into	Macroinvertel the following 5 spenils) - note that tails	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged	sition during sampling			e ince
Group 1 - Fr	ates are divided into	Macroinvertel the following 5 spenils) - note that tails	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged	sition during sampling		Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20	e ince
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spenils) — note that tails note that tails may be	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin	sition during sampling		Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50	e ince
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spe- tills) — note that tails note that tails may b , Oligochaeta and Dig	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera)	sition during sampling ng sampling		Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100	e ince 1 2 3
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spe- tills) — note that tails note that tails may b , Oligochaeta and Dig	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera)	sition during sampling ng sampling		Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100	e ince
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spe- ills) – note that tails note that tails may be Oligochaeta and Dig tota and relative abun	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+	e ince 1 2 3
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spe- ills) – note that tails note that tails may be Oligochaeta and Dig total and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab	orate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera)	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g		Relativ Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+	e ince 1 2 3
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel of the following 5 spenils) — note that tails note that tails may be compared to the following spenils of t	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relativ Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b)	e ince 1 2 3 4 5
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel to the following 5 spe- ills) – note that tails note that tails may be Oligochaeta and Dig total and relative abun Ecdyonurus Ab	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab	e ince
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel of the following 5 spenils) — note that tails note that tails may be compared to the following spenils of t	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relativ Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b)	e ince
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda,	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails note that tails may be of the following 5 specials of	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab	e nnce 1 2 3 3 4 5 5
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta- lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, sellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails note that tails may be of the following 5 specials of	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab	e ance 1 2 3 3 4 5
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta- lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, ssellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails — note that	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab	e ance 1 2 3 3 4 5 5
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta- lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, ssellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails mote that tails and to that tails and relative abune Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab araleptophlebia Ab phemera danica Ab	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab	e nnce 1 2 3 3 4 5 5
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta- lecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, ssellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be of the following 5 specials — note that tails — note that	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab	e ince
Group 1 = El Group 2 = Pl Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-tal lecoptera (2-talis) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, sellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails mote that tails and to that tails and relative abune Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab araleptophlebia Ab phemera danica Ab	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma	sition during sampling ag sampling acroinvertebrate g	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab Imphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ive Abundance	e ince
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of tax	otes are divided into phemeroptera (3-tal lecoptera (2-talis) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, sellus total number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be noted	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecop	during sampling grampling acroinvertebrate gratera:	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ve Abundance Asellus:	e ance
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of tax	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-talecoptera (2-talls) - richoptera ,OL.D (Gastropoda, seellus total number of talecoptera ,D.D.D (Factorial number of talecoptera)	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be noted	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecog	during sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ve Abundance Asellus: Ab:	e ince
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of tax	etes are divided into phemeroptera (3-tailes) - inchoptera (2-tails) - inchoptera (2-tails) - inchoptera (2-tails) - inchoptera (2-tails) - inchoptera (2-tails) - total number of tailes (2-tails) - etes (2-tails) - Etes (2-tails) - Total Re- Hydropsychio	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be a considered and Digital and relative abune Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Caenis Ab Idaraleptophlebia Ab Other Ephem Ab Belative Abundance Idae Ab G.OL.	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecog	during sampling grampling acroinvertebrate gratera:	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ve Abundance Asellus:	e ince
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-tails) - inchoptera (2-tails) - inchoptera (3-tails)	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be a considered and Dispose and relative abuntance that tails may be a considered and Dispose and relative abuntance that tails may be a considered and the c	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecop Total r D: Lymna Potamopra Planori	during sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ither Plecop Ab ither Plecop Ab Common	e ance 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera: Total no. of tax	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta) elecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera (0-tails) - richoptera (0-tails) - richoptera (0-tails) elecoptera (0-tails) ele	Macroinvertel of the following 5 spe of the f	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecop	during sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomis (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ther Plecop Ab ther Plecop Ab we Abundance Asellus. Few/Lu	e ance 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta) elecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera .OL.D (Gastropoda, sellus et otal number of ta	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails may be a content of the following 5 specials – note that tails may be a content of the following 5 specials — note that tails may be a content of the following 5 specials — note of the following 5 spe	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecop	during sampling g sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomidae (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperla Ab Protonemura Ab Imphinemura Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab Inter Plecop	e ance 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-ta tecoptera (2-tails) - richoptera (3-tails) - total number of tails (3-tails) - richoptera (3-tai	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) – note that tails and tails and tails and tails and tails and tails and relative abunt Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab araileptophilebia Ab other Ephem Ab elative Abundance dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged durin otera) dance of each ma Plecop Total r D: Lympia Potamopia Planori Ancyl Phy Lumbricult	during sampling g sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomidae (D) Ab Chironomidae (D) Ab Simulidae (D) Ab Tipulidae (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab ove Abundance Asellus. Few/Lu Commit Numero NOTE:	e ance 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	a Total Re Hydropsychic Polycentropodic Impelhilic Sericostomatic Glossosomatic	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be a considered and Digoral and relative abune Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab araleptophlebia Ab Other Ephem Ab elative Abundance dae Ab hila Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab dae Ab	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin obtera) dance of each ma Plecop Planori Ancyl Phy Lumbricult Eiseniel	during sampling g sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g otera:	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomus (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab Tipuliidae (D) Ab Ceratopogonidae (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab we Abundance Asellus Few/Lu Commun Numerc NOTE: must be	e ance 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the	etes are divided into phemeroptera (3-tails) - ichoptera (2-tails) - ichoptera (3-tails)	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be a considered and Digital and relative abunt Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab Idaale Ab Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin obtera) dance of each ma Plecop Planori Ancyl Phy Lumbricult Eiseniel	during sampling grampling grampling acroinvertebrate graces: acroinve	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomus (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab Tipuliidae (D) Ab Ceratopogonidae (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ b) Leuctra Ab Isoperta Ab Protonemura Ab Imphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab Ither Plecop Ab Ith	e ance 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Group 1 = E Group 2 = P Group 3 = T Group 4 = G Group 5 = A Calculate the Ephemeroptera:	ates are divided into phemeroptera (3-tails) - ichoptera (2-tails)	Macroinvertel of the following 5 specials) — note that tails may be a considered and Digital and relative abunt Ecdyonurus Ab Rhithrogena Ab Heptagenia Ab Ephemerella Ab Caenis Ab Idaale Ab Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae Idae	prate Compo cific groups: may be damaged be damaged durin obtera) dance of each ma Plecop Planori Ancyl Phy Lumbricult Eiseniel	during sampling g sampling g sampling acroinvertebrate g ortera: no. of Taxa ea (G) Ab lus (OI) Ab la (OI) Ab la (OI) Ab	roup below: (Abundance – A A Total Relati Chironomus (D) Ab Chironomus (D) Ab Simuliidae (D) Ab Dicranota (D) Ab Tipuliidae (D) Ab Ceratopogonidae (D) Ab	Relative Abunda 1-5 6-20 21-50 51-100 101+ Leuctra Ab Isoperia Ab Protonemura Ab mphinemura Ab Perla Ab Dinocras Ab Other Plecop Ab we Abundance Asellus Few/Lu Commun Numerc NOTE: must be	e ance 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

NOTE Baetis is an Ephemeropteran and is the most commonly occurring invertebrate genus in streams in Ireland. It is vital that Baetis is not counted in SSRS. See Appendix B for more details on how to identify Baetis.

DERRINTURN DIS.

Step 1. Calculate the Index Score by circling the appropriate box representing the total number of taxa and the total abundance calculated from **each macroinvertebrate group** calculated from page 1 of the recording sheet and enter in to the boxes in Step 2.



Step 3. Calculate the Total Index Score, the Average Index Score and the SSR Score using the boxes below

Total Index Score (TIS) SSR Score (AIS) 1/2 SSR Score (AIS x 2)

Step 4. Assess the stream by comparing the final SSR score with the categories below and tick the appropriate box

Surveyor (signed): MM the S Name (print): AUREN MILLIANS Date: 30 1 11 1 18