Annual Environmental Report

2018



Boyle

D0121-01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

- 1.1 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER
- 1.2 TREATMENT TYPE
- 1.2.1 BOYLE WWTP
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.3.1 BOYLE WWTP
- 1.4 SLUDGE REMOVAL

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

- 2.1 Summary Report on Monthly Influent Monitoring
- 2.1.1 Influent Monitoring Summary Boyle WWTP
- 2.2 DISCHARGES FROM THE AGGLOMERATION
 - 2.2.1 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY BOYLE WWTP
- 2.3 Ambient Monitoring Summary
- 2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Boyle WWTP
- 2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Mean (mg/l) Boyle WWTP

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

- 3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report
- 3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary Boyle WWTP
- 3.2 TREATMENT CAPACITY REPORT SUMMARY
- 3.3 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.4 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
- 3.4.1 Summary of Incidents
- 3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents
- 3.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS TO THE WWTP

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
- 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION
- 4.1.2 Inspection Summary Report
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

- 4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary
- 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
- 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS
- 6.2 DECLARATION BY IRISH WATER

7 APPENDIX

7.1 Ambient monitoring summary

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0121-01, Boyle, in Roscommon in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

1.1 Licence specific reporting included in AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER

1.2 Treatment Type

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant Boyle WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 6000. The treatment process includes the following:

1.2.1 Boyle WWTP

Treatment type	Yes / No	Details
Preliminary Treatment	Yes	Screening and Grit Removal
Primary Treatment	No	
Secondary Treatment	Yes	Fine Bubble Diffused Aeration
Nutrient Removal	Yes	Chemical Dosing for phosphorous removal
Tertiary Treatment	No	

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration.

1.3 ELV Overview

1.3.1 Boyle WWTP

Compliance Status				
Were all parameters compliant for Boyle WWTP treatment plant	No			
Where noncompliant see table 2.2.1 for details of parameters				

1.4 Sludge Removal

The amount of sludge removed from the wastewater treatment plant is shown below along with the transported destination of the sludge from the treatment plant.

Treatment Plant	Sludge type	Quantity	Unit	% Dry Solids	Destination
Boyle WWTP	Cake Sludge	769.13	Weight (Tonnes)	15	Tibohine, Ballaghaderreen, Co. Roscommon

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented in below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

2.1.1 Influent Monitoring Summary - Boyle WWTP

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Total Nitrogen mg/l	13	65	29.61
Suspended Solids mg/l	13	727	241.15
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	13	261	99.1
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	13	14.96	5.78
COD-Cr mg/l	13	722	311.12
Hydraulic Capacity	0	8915	1918.53

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 3.5 if applicable

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The design of the wastewater tretament plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

2.2.1 Effluent Monitoring Summary - Boyle WWTP

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	15	30	0	13	0	0	3.03	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	1.5	1.8	0	13	2	2	0.89	Fail
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	0	0	0	13	0	0	0.28	Pass
Conductivity 20 C μS/cm	0	0	0	13	0	0	623.9	Pass
Total Nitrogen mg/l	0	0	0	13	0	0	7.6	Pass
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	0	13	0	0	23.43	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.5	0.6	0	13	1	1	0.1	Fail
pH pH units	0	0	0	13	0	0	7.82	Pass

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Suspended Solids mg/l	25	62.5	0	13	1	0	12.03	Pass

Notes:

- 1- This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied
- 2 For parameters where a mean ELV applies

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Inadequate Operational Procedures Plant and Equipment breakdown

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is non compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary - Boyle WWTP

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	179967, 303460	TPEFF2600D0121SW001	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	181627, 303947	TPEFF2600D0121SW001	No	No	No	No	Good

2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Summary - Boyle WWTP

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary**Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary - Boyle WWTP

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	Comment
SS	160693.19	8019.64	95.01	
cBOD	66035.36	2017.12	96.95	
ТР	3848.57	184.17	95.21	
COD	207320.57	15615.87	92.47	
TN	19730.49	5066.3	74.32	

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Boyle WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m3/day) - As Constructed	4500

Boyle WWTP	
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m3/day)	1500
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m3/day)	8915
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m3/day)	1918.53
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	6000
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)	3479
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	2521
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

3.3 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints				
There is no Complaint data included in the AER.							

3.4 Reported Incidents Summary

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.4.1 Summary of Incidents

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Other	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	1	No	No
Non-compliance	Plant or equipment breakdown at WWTP	1	No	No
Non-compliance	Inadequate Operational Procedures	1	No	Yes
Spillage	EO caused by power failure	1	No	Yes
Non-compliance	Inadequate Operational Procedures	1	No	Yes

3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2018	5
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2018	5
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	

3.5 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP?(Y/N)	
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	40.91	Volume (m3)	0.49	0.01	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	3.5	Volume (m3)	0.04	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

No Appendix Included

4.1.1 SWO Identification

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2018 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2018 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW002	180630, 303337	Yes	Low	Meeting			Not Monitored
SW003	180664, 302771	Yes	Low	Meeting			Not Monitored
SW004	180073, 302499	Yes	Low	Not Meeting			Not Monitored

4.1.2 Inspection Summary Report

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	0.00
Is each SWO identified as non meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	Yes
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes

SWO Summary

Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / charges to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?

No

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
Upgrade SW8 to comply with DoEHLG criteria	С		No	Works Completed		
Upgrade SW9 to comply with DoEHLG criteria	С		No	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improvements Pr	rogramme for this Agglomeration.			

4.2.3 Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table".

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	ence Specific Report Required by licence		Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER (e.g. Appendix X).
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2014	No	

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing WWDL?	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	
Have these processes commenced?	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 04/03/2019

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

In the appendix include all the detailed or site specific reports that are relevant to the AER. Reports omitted from previous AERs should also be appended here.

Appendix

Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

	D0121-01 Boyle Agglomeration:-Ambient Monitoring Upstream 2018 - River Boyle – (26B08-0200 – Footbridge 1.8km u/s Boyle)											
Sample Type	Date	code	Ammonia	BOD	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Temperature	Ortho-p	Total Nitrogen			
			(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(% Saturation)	(unit)	(deg C)	(PO4-P) (mg/l)	(mg/l)			
Jpstream	04/01/2018	18440012	0.056	< 1	93.2	7.95	5	0.015	-			
Jpstream	21/02/2018	18440595	< 0.02	1.3	98.4	7.95	4.4	0.009	-			
Jpstream	27/03/2018	18441019	0.109	1.2	102.3	7.9	7.3	0.007	-			
Jpstream	17/04/2018	18441281	0.058	1.4	102.8	7.57	10.2	0.019	-			
Jpstream	03/05/2018	18441515	0.031	5.7	94.4	8.32	11.1	< 0.006	-			
Jpstream	07/06/2018	18441914	0.177	1.8	91.5	7.22	17.6	0.007	-			
Jpstream	19/07/2018	18442471	< 0.02	< 1	84	8.57	17.6	< 0.006	-			
Jpstream	16/08/2018	18442802	0.045	< 1	107.6	8.29	16.8	< 0.006	-			
Jpstream	11/10/2018	18443564	0.131	1.2	86.8	7.99	12.8	0.011	-			
Jpstream	13/12/2018	18444532	0.051	1.3	94.6	7.69	6.6	0.009	-			
Ambient Mo	nitoring Result (Mean)	0.0678	1.54	99.72	8.2	11.72	0.009				
	er Regulation 20	09 Good Status	≤0.065	≤1.50		Soft 4.5 <ph<6.0< td=""><td></td><td>≤0.035</td><td></td></ph<6.0<>		≤0.035				
mean) Tabl	e 9 (Note 1)					Hard 6.0 <ph<9.0< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ph<9.0<>						
Ambient Mo	nitoring Result (95 Percentile)	0.1563	3.945	105.44	8.4575	17.6	0.0172				
Surface Wat	er Regulation 20	09 Good Status	≤0.14	≤2.6	80<95%ile<120			≤0.075				
Status Upstr	eam (Note 3)		Fail	Fail	Good	Hard		Good				

Note 1: Limit (mean) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, schedule 4 of the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009 S.I. No. 272 of 2009. Note – calculated figures for Ammonia as N do not consider variants in temperature or pH.

Note 2: Limit (95%ile) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, Schedule 4 of The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009) S.I. No. 272 of 2009.

Note 3: Limit (mean) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, Schedule 4 of The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009) S.I. No. 272 of 2009.

D0121-01 Boyle Agglomeration : - Ambient Monitoring Downstream 2018 – River Boyle –(26B08-0400 – Drum Bridge (Boat House Ford)											
Sample Type Date cod		code	Ammonia (mg/l)	BOD	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Temperature	Ortho-p	Total Nitrogen		
			(1119/1)	(mg/l)	(% Saturation)	(unit)	(deg C)	(PO4-P) (mg/l)	(mg/l)		
Downstream	4-Jan-2018	18440013	0.082	1.6	94.6	7.92	5.1	0.015	-		
Downstream	21-Feb-2018	18440596	0.021	1.4	89.2	7.97	4.4	0.01	-		
Downstream	27-Mar-2018	18441020	0.079	1.3	83.9	7.95	7.5	0.006	-		
Downstream	17-Apr-2018	18441282	0.041	1.6	104.8	7.64	10.8	0.016	-		
Downstream	3-May-2018	18441516	< 0.03	3.6	93	8.3	11.4	< 0.006	=		
Downstream	7-June-2018	18441915	0.141	2.4	92.4	7.29	17.9	< 0.006	-		
Downstream	19-July-2018	18442472	< 0.02	< 1	84.5	8.4	18	< 0.006	-		
Downstream	16-Aug-2018	18442803	0.052	< 1	104.5	8.11	16.8	0.011	-		
Downstream	11-Oct-2018	18443565	0.112	1.1	86.8	8	12.9	0.009	-		
Downstream	13-Dec-2018	18444533	0.048	2	96.9	7.66	6.6	0.01	-		
Downstream											
Ambient Mo	nitoring Result (Mean)									
			0.0601	1.6	101.91	8.22	12.32	0.0086			
Surface Wat	er Regulation 20	09 Good Status	≤0.065	≤1.50		Soft 4.5 <ph<6.0< td=""><td></td><td>≤0.035</td><td></td></ph<6.0<>		≤0.035			
(mean) Tabl	e 9 (Note 1)										
						Hard 6.0 <ph<9.0< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></ph<9.0<>					
Ambient Mo	nitoring Result (95 Percentile)						+ +			
			0.12795	3.06	104.665	8.355	17.955	0.016			
Surface Wat	er Regulation 20	09 Good Status	≤0.14	≤2.6	80<95%ile<120			≤0.075			
(95%ile) Tab	le 9 (Note 2)										
Status Upstr	eam (Note 3)		Good	Fail	Good	Hard		Good			

Note 1: Limit (mean) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, schedule 4 of the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009 S.I. No. 272 of 2009. Note – calculated figures for Ammonia as N do not consider variants in temperature or pH.

Note 2: Limit (95%ile) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, Schedule 4 of The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009) S.I. No. 272 of 2009.

Note 3: Limit (mean) for good status waters as per Table 9, Part A, Schedule 4 of The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009) S.I. No. 272 of 2009.

			Receivi	ng Waters	Designation	n (Yes/No)		Mean (mg/l)			
Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish National Grid Reference (Easting, Northing)	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	Current WFD Status	cBOD	o-Phosphate (as P)	Ammonia (as N)	
Upstream Monitoring Point	178849, 301861	IE_SH_26B0 80200					Good	1.540	0.009	0.068	
Downstream Monitoring Point	181625, 303946	IE_SH_26B0 80400	No	No	No	No	Good	1.600	0.009	0.060	
EQS								2.600	0.075	0.140	
% of EQS								2.308%	-0.533%	-5.500%	