

Annual Environmental Report

2018



Kinnegad

D0104-01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

- 1.1 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER
- 1.2 TREATMENT TYPE
 - 1.2.1 KINNEGAD WWTP
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
 - 1.3.1 KINNEGAD WWTP
- 1.4 SLUDGE REMOVAL

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

- 2.1 SUMMARY REPORT ON MONTHLY INFLUENT MONITORING
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP
- 2.2 DISCHARGES FROM THE AGGLOMERATION
 - 2.2.1 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP
- 2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY
 - 2.3.1 AMBIENT MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP
 - 2.3.2 AMBIENT MONITORING PARAMETER MEAN (MG/L) - KINNEGAD WWTP

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

- 3.1 TREATMENT EFFICIENCY REPORT
 - 3.1.1 TREATMENT EFFICIENCY REPORT SUMMARY - KINNEGAD WWTP
- 3.2 TREATMENT CAPACITY REPORT SUMMARY
- 3.3 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.4 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.4.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.4.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS
- 3.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS TO THE WWTP

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION
 - 4.1.2 INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

6.2 DECLARATION BY IRISH WATER

7 APPENDIX

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0104-01, Kinnegad, in Westmeath in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

1.1 Licence specific reporting included in AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
There is no Licence Specific Reports included in the AER.	

1.2 Treatment Type

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant KINNEGAD WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 4800. The treatment process includes the following:

1.2.1 KINNEGAD WWTP

Treatment type	Yes / No	Details
Preliminary Treatment	Yes	Screening and Grit removal
Primary Treatment	No	
Secondary Treatment	Yes	Conventional Activated Sludge
Nutrient Removal	Yes	Alum Dosing for phosphorus removal
Tertiary Treatment	No	

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration.

1.3 ELV Overview

1.3.1 KINNEGAD WWTP

Compliance Status	
Were all parameters compliant for KINNEGAD WWTP treatment plant	No
Where non compliant see Table 2.2.1 for details of parameters	

1.4 Sludge Removal

The amount of sludge removed from the wastewater treatment plant is shown below along with the transported destination of the sludge from the treatment plant.

Treatment Plant	Sludge type	Quantity	Unit	% Dry Solids	Destination
KINNEGAD WWTP	Liquid Sludge	667.25	Weight (Tonnes)	2.9	Clonmore WWTP Mullingar
KINNEGAD WWTP	Cake Sludge	814.08	Weight (Tonnes)	12.08	Clonmore WWTP Mullingar

Annual Statement of Measures

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken.

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented in below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

2.1.1 Influent Monitoring Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	12	596	272.01
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	3300	809.65
BOD - 5 days (Total) mg/l	12	601	296.44
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	104.7	49.39
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	35.5	11.28
COD-Cr mg/l	12	2960	1151.64
Hydraulic Capacity		1488	674.8

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 3.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

2.2.1 Effluent Monitoring Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Total Nitrogen mg/l	0	0	0	11	0	0	19.51	N/A
Kjeldahl Nitrogen mg/l	0	0	0	4	0	0	10.4	N/A
Nitrite (as N) mg/l	0	0	0	12	0	0	0.29	N/A
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	0	0	0	12	0	0	0.57	N/A
Conductivity 20 C μ S/cm	0	0	0	12	0	0	693.39	N/A
Total Oxidised Nitrogen (as N) mg/l	0	0	0	12	0	0	13.92	N/A
pH pH units	0	0	0	12	0	0	7.16	N/A
Nitrate (as N) mg/l	0	0	0	12	0	0	13.64	N/A
Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	0	0	0	1	0	0	80	N/A
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous)	20	40	0	12	1	0	4.29	Pass

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included ^{Note 1}	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
BOD) mg/l								
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	0	12	2	2	25.32	Fail
Dissolved Oxygen mg/l	0	0	0	1	0	0	8.1	N/A
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	0	12	3	1	84.79	Fail
Temperature °C	0	0	0	2	0	0	6.48	N/A
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	1	2	0	12	2	2	3.8	Fail
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.6	0.72	0	12	2	1	0.32	Fail

Notes:

1– This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Shock load to plant (INCI012384) and Plant and equipment breakdown at plant (INCI015101).

Significance of Results:

The WWTP was non-compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence. There were 3 exceedances in relation to the COD ELV, 1 of which was above the Condition 2 ELV. There were 2 exceedances in relation to the TSS ELV, both of which were above the Condition 2 ELV. There were 2 exceedances in relation to the Ammonia-N ELV, both of which were above the Condition 2 ELV. There were 2 exceedances in relation to the Ortho-P ELV, one of which was above the Condition 2 ELV. The impact on receiving water is assessed further in Section 2.3.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	260311, 245276	TPEFF3200D0104SW001	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	260585, 245669	TPEFF3200D0104SW001	No	No	No	No	Moderate

2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP

The table below provides a summary of monitoring results for designated ambient monitoring points. The upstream and downstream annual mean values are shown (mg/l), and the difference between both monitoring stations is given as a percentage of the Environmental Quality Standard (EQS) where relevant.

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	RS07K010100	0.03	RS07K010150	0.18		
COD-Cr mg/l	RS07K010100	28.2	RS07K010150	35.6		
Conductivity 20 C μS/cm	RS07K010100	772.3	RS07K010150	775.3		
Total Nitrogen mg/l	RS07K010100	2.74	RS07K010150	5.24		

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	RS07K010100	0.07	RS07K010150	0.19	0.14	87.4
Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	RS07K010100	87.28	RS07K010150	90.96		
pH pH units	RS07K010100	7.87	RS07K010150	7.84		
Dissolved Oxygen mg/l	RS07K010100	9.26	RS07K010150	9.23		
Temperature °C	RS07K010100	12.07	RS07K010150	14.55		
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	RS07K010100	0.01	RS07K010150	0.06	0.075	67.9
BOD - 5 days (Total) mg/l	RS07K010100	1.05	RS07K010150	3.26	2.6	85

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results did not meet the required EQS for Ammonia and BOD d/s of the WWTP. Where the ambient monitoring results do not meet the EQS this relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on the Ammonia-N and Ortho-P ELV breaches in 2018 and the mean d/s Ammonia-N and Ortho-P results, it is considered that the WWTP may have had a localised impact on the d/s water quality in terms of Ammonia-N and Ortho-P in 2018. It should be noted however that the EQS for Ortho-P was met d/s of the WWTP.

A deterioration in water quality is noted in terms of BOD. However, based on the BOD ELV compliance results in 2018 it is not considered that this is due to the WWTP.

The discharge from the WWTP has no observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status. The status is Moderate u/s and d/s of the WWTP discharge.

It is noted that consistent achievement of ELVs would benefit downstream water quality.

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary - KINNEGAD WWTP

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
TN	11671.12	4728.01	59.49
TP	2664.75	132.74	95.02
COD	272118.42	19835.36	92.71
SS	191309.57	5923.78	96.9
cBOD	64273.35	1002.62	98.44

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

KINNEGAD WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m ³ /day) - As Constructed	3240
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	1080
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m ³ /day)	1488
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	674.8
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	4800
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)	3294
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	1506
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

3.3 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
4	Blocked Sewer	0	4

3.4 Reported Incidents Summary

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.4.1 Summary of Incidents

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
Non-compliance	Shock load to WWTP	3	Yes	Yes
Non-compliance	Plant or equipment maintenance at WWTP	4	No	Yes
Other	Plant or equipment maintenance at WWTP	1	No	No

3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2018	8
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2018	8
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

3.5 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

No Appendix Included.

4.1.1 SWO Identification

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2018 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2018 (m ³)	Monitoring Status
SW002	260410, 245363	Yes	Medium	Not Meeting			Not Monitored
SW003	260126, 245136	Yes	Low	Meeting			Not Monitored
SW004	260447, 245432	Yes	Medium	Not Meeting			Not Monitored

4.1.2 Inspection Summary Report

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m ³)?	Not Monitored
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	Yes
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes

SWO Summary

Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?

No

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WDDL)	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
Installation of new storm water storage tank	C	31/12/2012	Yes	Works Completed		
Waste water treatment plant and ancillary works	C	31/12/2013	Yes	Not Started		The improvement programme will be reviewed by IW to assess the works required to comply with the licence condition on a prioritised basis.

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.				

4.2.3 Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2015	No	

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing WWDL?	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Date: 19/03/2019

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

There are no Appendices included.